

Convergence of Calabi-Yau manifolds

Wei-Dong Ruan *

KAIST, Daejeon, Korea

E-mail address: ruan@math.kaist.ac.kr

Yuguang Zhang †

KAIST, Daejeon, Korea

and

Capital Normal University, Beijing, China

E-mail address: yuguangzhang76@yahoo.com

May 21, 2009

Abstract

In this paper, we study the convergence of Calabi-Yau manifolds under Kähler degeneration to orbifold singularities and complex degeneration to canonical singularities (including the conifold singularities), and the collapsing of a family of Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminary	9
2.1	Gromov-Hausdorff convergence	9
2.2	Calabi-Yau variety	11
2.3	Complex Monge-Ampère Equation and Capacities	16
3	A priori estimates	19
3.1	A priori estimate for diameters of Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds . . .	19
3.2	An estimate for the first eigenvalue	22
3.3	Estimates concerning the condition (1.1)	25
4	Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of Calabi-Yau manifolds	32

*Partially supported by the SRC Program of Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) gran funded by the Korea government(MOST) (No. R11-2007-035-02002-0).

†Partially supported by the SRC program of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) grant funded by the Korean government (MEST) (No. R11-2007-035-02002-0), and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 10771143.

5	Convergence of Calabi-Yau manifolds under smoothing	39
6	Collapsing of a Calabi-Yau threefold	47

1 Introduction

A Calabi-Yau n -manifold is a complex projective manifold M of complex dimension n with trivial canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_M$. The study of Calabi-Yau manifolds is important in both mathematics and physics (c.f. [59]). On a Calabi-Yau manifold, the set $\mathbb{K}_M$ of Kähler classes forms an open cone of $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$, which is called Kähler cone. By Yau's theorem on the Calabi conjecture ([56]), for any Kähler class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$, there exists a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric g on M with Kähler form $\omega \in \alpha$. A natural question is to study how a family of Calabi-Yau manifolds (M_k, g_k, ω_k) with Ricci-flat Kähler metrics and the same underlying differential manifold M converges. There are several motivations to study this question:

- (i) On a compact Calabi-Yau manifold, Yau's theorem shows the existence of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics. However, very few of them can be written down explicitly, except for some very special cases, such as the flat torus. It is desirable to improve our knowledge of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on a compact Calabi-Yau manifold, for example what the manifold with these metrics looks like. Understanding the convergence of Calabi-Yau metrics will help us to achieve this understanding.
- (ii) In mirror symmetry, SYZ conjecture [[53]] predicts that there is a special Lagrangian fibration on a Calabi-Yau manifold if it is close enough to the large complex limit. In [30] and [39], this conjecture was refined by using the Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics.
- (iii) The conifold transition (or more general geometric transition) provides a way to connect Calabi-Yau threefolds with different topology in algebraic geometry (c.f. [47]). Furthermore, it was conjectured by physicists that this process is continuous in the space of all Ricci-flat Kähler threefolds in [9]. Therefore it is important and interesting to study how Calabi-Yau metrics change in this process.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_M$ denote the space of Ricci-flat Calabi-Yau n -manifolds with the same underlying differential manifold M . By Yau's theorem, there are two natural parameters on $\mathfrak{M}_M$: one is the complex structure, and the other is the Kähler class. It is studied in algebraic geometry how a family of Calabi-Yau n -manifolds degenerates when their complex structures approach the boundary of the space of complex structures (respectively their Kähler classes approach the boundary of Kähler cone while fixing a complex structure). Usually, a family of Calabi-Yau manifolds degenerate into a singular projective variety in some suitable

sense. In [16], [15] and [12], the convergence of Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology was studied without any assumptions on complex structures and Kähler classes. It is shown that the limits are path metric spaces in this case. A natural question is, if we know how a family of Calabi-Yau manifolds degenerates in the algebraic geometry sense, what can we say about their convergence in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology? Of course, more knowledge about the limit is expected. For example, what is the relationship between the singular projective variety obtained from the degeneration in algebraic geometry and the metric space obtained from the Gromov-Hausdorff convergence?

For K3 surfaces, this question was studied in [2], [36] and [30]. If (N, g) is a Ricci-flat K3 orbifold, it was shown in [36] that there is a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics g_k on the crepant resolution M of N such that (M, g_k) converges to (N, g) . Then, by using the hyper-Kähler rotation, [36] proved that a family of Ricci-flat Kähler K3 surfaces (M_k, g_k) converges to (N, g) , where M_k are obtained by a smoothing of N , i.e. there is a complex 3-manifold $\mathcal{M}$, and a holomorphic map $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $N = \pi^{-1}(0)$ and $M_k = \pi^{-1}(t_k)$ for a family $\{t_k\} \subset \Delta$ with $t_k \rightarrow 0$. In this paper, we generalize these results to higher dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds.

A Calabi-Yau n -variety is a normal Gorenstein projective variety N of dimensional n admitting only canonical singularities, such that the dualizing sheaf $\mathcal{K}_N$ of N is trivial, (i.e. $\mathcal{K}_N \simeq \mathcal{O}_N$), and $H^2(N, \mathcal{O}_N) = \{0\}$. (M, π) is called a resolution of N , if M is a compact complex n -manifold, and $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is a birational proper morphism such that $\pi : M \setminus \pi^{-1}(S) \rightarrow N \setminus S$ is bi-holomorphic, where S is the singular set of N . The resolution is called crepant if $\pi^* \mathcal{K}_N = \mathcal{K}_M$, i.e. M is a compact Calabi-Yau n -manifold in our case. There are analogous notions of Kähler metrics, Kähler forms, smooth Kähler forms and holomorphic volume forms on N (see Section 2 for details). If $\mathcal{PH}_N$ denotes the sheaf of pluri-harmonic functions on N , any Kähler form ω represents a class $[\omega]$ in $H^1(N, \mathcal{PH}_N)$ (c.f. Section 5.2 in [22]). In [22], it is proved that, for any $\alpha \in H^1(N, \mathcal{PH}_N)$ which can be represented by a smooth Kähler form, there is a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric g with Kähler form $\omega \in \alpha$. If N admits a crepant resolution (M, π) , and $\alpha_k \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a family of Kähler classes such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = \pi^* \alpha$, in [55] it is proved that g_k converges to $\pi^* g$ in the C^∞ -sense on any compact subset of $M \setminus \pi^{-1}(S)$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$, where g_k is the unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric with Kähler form $\omega_k \in \alpha_k$. The first goal of the present paper is to study the convergence of (M, g_k) in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.

Theorem 1.1 *Let N be a Calabi-Yau n -variety which admits a crepant resolution (M, π) , $\alpha \in H^1(N, \mathcal{PH}_N)$ be a class represented by a smooth Kähler form on N , and g be the unique singular Ricci-flat Kähler metric with Kähler form $\omega \in \alpha$. Assume that the path metric structure of $(N \setminus S, g)$ extends to a path metric structure d_N on N such that the Hausdorff dimension of S satisfies*

$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S \leq 2n - 4$, where S is the singular set of N , and $N \setminus S$ is geodesic convex in (N, d_N) , i.e. for any $x, y \in N \setminus S$, there is a minimal geodesic $\gamma \subset N \setminus S$ connecting x and y satisfying $\text{length}_g(\gamma) = d_N(x, y)$. If g_k is a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on M with Kähler forms ω_k such that $[\omega_k] \rightarrow \pi^* \alpha$ in $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}((M, g_k), (N, d_N)) = 0,$$

where d_{GH} denotes the Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

As application we use the above theorem on Calabi-Yau orbifolds. A *projective n -orbifold* is a normal projective n -variety with only quotient singularities, i.e. for any singular point p , there is a neighborhood U_p of p , a neighborhood V of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and a finite group $\Gamma_p \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that U_p is bi-holomorphic to V/Γ_p . A Calabi-Yau n -orbifold is a projective orbifold N of dimension n with the following properties: $H^2(N, \mathcal{O}_N) = \{0\}$, N admits orbifold Kähler metrics, all of the orbifold groups are finite subgroups of $SU(n)$, and the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_N$ of N is trivial. A Calabi-Yau orbifold N is a Calabi-Yau variety in the above sense (see Section 2 for details). By the same arguments as Yau's proof of the Calabi conjecture, for any Kähler class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R})$ on a Calabi-Yau orbifold N , there exists a unique orbifold Ricci-flat Kähler metric g on N with Kähler form $\omega \in \alpha$ ([56] and [35]). In [40], it is proved that there exists a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics g_k on $\bar{M}$ such that $\{(\bar{M}, g_k)\}$ converges to $(T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3, h)$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, where $T^6 = \mathbb{C}^3/(\mathbb{Z}^3 + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}^3)$, h is the flat metric on $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3$, and $\bar{M}$ is a crepant resolution of $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3$. For general case, as a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we obtain:

Corollary 1.1 *Let N be a compact Calabi-Yau n -orbifold, which admits a crepant resolution (M, π) , and g be a Ricci-flat Kähler metric on N with Kähler form ω . If g_k is a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on M with Kähler forms ω_k such that Kähler classes $[\omega_k]$ converge to $\pi^*[\omega]$ in $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}((M, g_k), (N, g)) = 0,$$

where d_{GH} denotes the Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

This shows that we can find Ricci-flat Kähler metrics g_k on M such that the shape of these Ricci-flat manifolds (M, g_k) look like the Ricci-flat orbifold (N, g) as close as we want.

The second goal is to study the convergence of Calabi-Yau manifolds obtained from a smoothing of a Calabi-Yau variety. Let M_0 be a normal projective Calabi-Yau n -variety. Assume that M_0 admits a *smoothing* $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ in $\mathbb{CP}^N$ over the unit disc $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$, i.e. $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{CP}^N \times \Delta$ is an irreducible closed subvariety, π is the restriction of the projection from $\mathbb{CP}^N \times \Delta$ to Δ , $M_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$, and for $t \neq 0$, $M_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ is a smooth projective n -manifold, where $\pi^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in \Delta$ denote the scheme theoretical fibres. We also assume

that the dualizing sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $\Omega = \Omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the corresponding trivializing section of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$. By the adjunction formula (c.f. [25]), we have $\mathcal{K}_{M_t} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes [M_t]|_{M_t} \cong \mathcal{O}_{M_t}$. The corresponding trivializing section can be expressed locally as $\Omega_t = \Omega_{M_t} = (i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \Omega)|_{M_t}$. For any $t \neq 0$, M_t is a projective n -manifold with trivial canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{M_t}$. Ω and Ω_t define the volume forms

$$d\mu = d\mu_{\mathcal{M}} = (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} \text{ and } d\mu_t = d\mu_{M_t} = (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega_t \wedge \overline{\Omega}_t$$

on $\mathcal{M}$ and M_t . In particular, we use $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ to denote the standard Calabi-Yau form on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the corresponding volume form $d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n} = (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n} \wedge \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$.

In our discussion, we would need the technical condition that $\mathcal{M}$ is locally homogeneous, which would include the case that $\mathcal{M}$ is smooth or with isolated homogeneous singularities (see §3.3 for details). We believe, all our results should still be true with this technical condition removed.

Roughly speaking, we say $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ is *locally quasi-homogeneous*, if for any $p \in M_0$, there exist an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ with a local embedding $(U, p) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$, and a weight vector $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$, where w_i are positive integers, such that $(U, \pi|_U)$ is w -homogeneous under the standard $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on $\mathbb{C}^m$ of weight w . In particular, $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ is locally homogeneous if all $w_i = 1$. For technical reason, our precise definition would require slightly stronger condition on U (see §3.3 for details).

$t = \pi(z)$ can be viewed as a holomorphic function on $\mathcal{M}$. The standard Kähler metric on $\mathbb{CP}^N \times \Delta$ restricts to a Kähler metric on $\mathcal{M}$. $V = -\frac{\nabla|t|}{|\nabla|t||^2}$ defines a horizontal vector field on $\mathcal{M} \setminus M_0$ such that $\pi_* V$ is the inward radial unit vector field on Δ . V generates a family $\phi_{t,a} : M_t \rightarrow M_{at}$ for $a \in (0, 1]$ of symplectomorphisms. It is straightforward to see that $\phi_{t,a}$ can be extended to $\phi_{t,0} : M_t \rightarrow M_0$ that is symplectomorphism over $M_0 \setminus S$. This construction gives us a smooth embedding $F : (M_0 \setminus S) \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $F(x, t) = F_t(x) := \phi_{t,0}^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in M_0 \setminus S$ and $t \in \Delta$. (For our discussion, we would not need the symplectic property of F .)

By [22], for any smooth Kähler form ω_0 on M_0 , there is a unique singular Ricci-flat Kähler metric $\tilde{g}_0$ on M_0 with Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}_0$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_0 \in [\omega_0] \in H^1(M_0, \mathcal{PH}_{M_0})$. Furthermore, $\tilde{g}_0$ is a smooth Ricci-flat Kähler metric on $M_0 \setminus S$.

Conjecture 1.1 *Let M_0 be a projective Calabi-Yau n -variety, and S be the singular points of M_0 . Assume that M_0 admits a smoothing $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ in $\mathbb{CP}^N$ over the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that the dualizing sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is trivial. For any smooth Kähler form ω on $\mathcal{M}$ and any $t \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, let $\tilde{g}_t$ be the unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric on $M_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ with its Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}_t \in [\omega|_{M_t}] \in H^{1,1}(M_t, \mathbb{R})$. Then for any sequence $\{t_k\} \subset \Delta$ with $t_k \rightarrow 0$ such that, for any smooth embedding $F : M_0 \setminus S \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ satisfying that $F(M_0 \setminus S \times \{t\}) \subset M_t$ and $F|_{M_0 \setminus S \times \{0\}} = \text{Id} : M_0 \setminus S \rightarrow M_0 \setminus S$ is the identity map, we have*

$$F|_{M_0 \setminus S \times \{t_k\}}^* \tilde{g}_{t_k} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_0, \quad \text{and} \quad F|_{M_0 \setminus S \times \{t_k\}}^* \tilde{\omega}_{t_k} \rightarrow \tilde{\omega}_0$$

in the C^∞ -sense on any compact subset $K \subset M_0 \setminus S$, where $\tilde{g}_0$ is the unique singular Ricci-flat Kähler metric on M_0 with Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}_0 \in [\omega|_{M_0}] \in H^1(M_0, \mathcal{PH}_{M_0})$. Furthermore, the diameters of $(M_{t_k}, \tilde{g}_{t_k})$ have a uniformly upper bound, i.e.

$$\text{diam}_{\tilde{g}_{t_k}}(M_{t_k}) \leq \bar{C},$$

for a constant $\bar{C} > 0$ independent of k .

We will prove this conjecture under a technical condition (related to the log canonical threshold) on the smoothing that we believe is always satisfied for the smoothing considered in conjecture 1.1. We are able to verify this condition under quite general circumstances, therefore proving the conjecture in these cases. We say a smoothing $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies *condition (1.1)* for $\Lambda \subset \Delta$ if for any $x_0 \in M_0$, there exist $r, c_1, C_1 > 0$ and a holomorphic map $\mathbf{p} : U = B_r(x_0, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ that restricts to a finite branched covering $\mathbf{p} : M_t \cap U \rightarrow B_1(0)$ for all $t \in \Delta$, and

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{U \cap M_t} |f|^{-2c_1} (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega_t \wedge \overline{\Omega}_t \leq C_1, \text{ where } f\Omega_t = \mathbf{p}^*\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n} \text{ for } t \in \Lambda.$$

Theorem 1.2 *The conjecture 1.1 is true if we assume that the smoothing $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies condition (1.1) for $\Lambda = \Delta$.*

Remark: For any specific example, it is usually fairly straightforward to construct $\mathbf{p}$ and compute the explicit integral in (1.1) to verify the condition (1.1). (For example, the verification of the condition (1.1) is a rather simple exercise in the conifold case.) One may even attempt to use computer to make such verification. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 can be adequately employed in proving the conjecture 1.1 for any specific smoothing. The difficulty lies in the verification of the condition (1.1) in full generality, especially when $\mathcal{M}$ is singular. $\square$

In general, we can prove a slightly weaker version of conjecture 1.1.

Theorem 1.3 *The conjecture 1.1 is true if we assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is locally homogeneous (including when $\mathcal{M}$ is smooth) and replace “for any sequence $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ” by “there exists a sequence $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ”.*

If we further assume that π possesses some local homogeneous property, the stronger version of the conjecture 1.1 can be proved. We say $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ satisfies the *condition (1.2)* if either (i) $\mathcal{M}$ and π are locally homogeneous, or (ii) $\mathcal{M}$ is smooth and π is locally quasi-homogeneous.

Theorem 1.4 *The conjecture 1.1 is true if $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ satisfies the condition (1.2).*

Remark: It would be clear from our proof that our method also applies to more singular $\mathcal{M}$, (especially when $\mathcal{M}$ is locally quasi-homogeneous, where the

condition (1.2) becomes “ $\mathcal{M}$ and π are locally quasi-homogeneous”). To demonstrate our method more clearly and avoid unnecessary complications, we would restrict ourself to the case when $\mathcal{M}$ is locally homogeneous (including $\mathcal{M}$ being smooth) in this paper. $\square$

Now, we consider Calabi-Yau varieties with “generic” singularities — the ordinary double points. Let M_0 be a projective n -variety with only finite many ordinary double points $S = \{p_\alpha\}$ as singular points, i.e. for any $p_\alpha \in S$, the singularity of M_0 is given by

$$\{z_1^2 + \cdots + z_{n+1}^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}.$$

Note that ordinary double points are not orbifold singularities when $n \geq 3$. We call M_0 a Calabi-Yau n -conifold, if M_0 is a Calabi-Yau n -variety. Assume that the Calabi-Yau n -conifold M_0 admits a crepant resolution $(\hat{M}, \hat{\pi})$, and there is a smoothing of M_0 to a Calabi-Yau manifold M . The process of going from $\hat{M}$ to M is called conifold transition. Conifolds and conifold transition appear in the literature frequently both in mathematics and in physics (c.f. [47] [54]). In mathematics, it is related to the famous Reid’s fantasy, which conjectured that all of Calabi-Yau threefolds are connected to each other in some sense, and form a huge connected web (c.f. [45] [47]). Furthermore, in physics, the conifold transition provides a way to connect topologically distinct space-times in string theory (c.f. [9] [1] [10] [26] [47]). In [9], it is conjectured that there exists a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics $\hat{g}_s$, $s \in (0, 1)$, on $\hat{M}$, and a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics g_s , $s \in (0, 1)$, on M , which correspond to different complex structures, satisfying that $\{(\hat{M}, \hat{g}_s)\}$ and $\{(M, g_s)\}$ converge to the same limit in a suitable sense (for example, the Gromov-Hausdorff topology), when $s \rightarrow 0$. This conjecture was verified in [9] by assuming M_0 is the standard non-compact quadric cone, i.e. $M_0 = \{(z_1, \dots, z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 | z_1^2 + \cdots + z_4^2 = 0\}$. In the compact case, it is implied by [55] that there exists a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics $\hat{g}_s$ on $\hat{M}$ converging to a Ricci-flat Kähler metric g on any compact subset of the smooth part of M_0 . The next result will show the convergence of g_s on M . Actually, since the conifold singularity is isolated homogeneous singularity, it is a corollary of theorem 1.4. We will also provide a direct proof of this result in section 5.

Corollary 1.2 *Let M_0 be a projective Calabi-Yau n -conifold, then the conjecture 1.1 is true.*

We have an analogy of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.3 *Let M_0 be a projective Calabi-Yau n -variety, and S be the singular points of M_0 . Assume that M_0 admits a smoothing $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ in $\mathbb{CP}^N$ over the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is*

trivial. For any smooth Kähler form ω on $\mathcal{M}$ and any $t \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, let $\tilde{g}_t$ be the unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric on $M_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ with its Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}_t \in [\omega|_{M_t}] \in H^{1,1}(M_t, \mathbb{R})$, and $\tilde{g}_0$ is the unique singular Ricci-flat Kähler metric on M_0 with Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}_0 \in [\omega|_{M_0}] \in H^1(M_0, \mathcal{PH}_{M_0})$. Assume that the path metric structure of $(M_0 \setminus S, \tilde{g}_0)$ extends to a path metric structure d_{M_0} on M_0 such that the Hausdorff dimension of S satisfies $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S \leq 2n-4$, and $M_0 \setminus S$ is geodesic convex in (M_0, d_{M_0}) , i.e. for any $x, y \in M_0 \setminus S$, there is a minimal geodesic $\gamma \subset M_0 \setminus S$ connecting x and y satisfying $\text{length}_{\tilde{g}_0}(\gamma) = d_{M_0}(x, y)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $t_k \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}((M_{t_k}, g_{t_k}), (M_0, d_{M_0})) = 0.$$

Furthermore, it holds for any sequence $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $t_k \rightarrow 0$, if M_0 is a Calabi-Yau manifold.

Finally, we apply Corollary 1.1 to study the collapsing of Calabi-Yau manifolds. For constructing mirror manifolds, the famous SYZ conjecture says that there is a special lagrangian fibration on a Calabi-Yau manifold if it closes to the large complex limit enough (c.f. [53]). In [29], special lagrangian fibrations are constructed on some Calabi-Yau threefolds of Borcea-Voisin type with degenerated Ricci-flat Kähler metrics. In [30] and [39], this conjecture was refined to the following form: Let M_0 be a projective n -variety (actually always reducible in this case), and $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a smoothing in $\mathbb{CP}^N$ over the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is trivial. For any smooth Kähler form ω on $\mathcal{M}$ and any $t \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, let $\tilde{g}_t$ be the unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric on $M_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ with its Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}_t \in [\omega|_{M_t}] \in H^{1,1}(M_t, \mathbb{R})$, and $\bar{g}_t = \text{diam}_{\tilde{g}_t}^{-2}(M) \tilde{g}_t$. If $0 \in \Delta$ is a large complex limit point of the deformation moduli of M_t , then $(M_t, \bar{g}_t)$ converges to a compact metric space (B, d_B) when $t \rightarrow 0$, where B is homeomorphic to S^n , and d_B is induced by a Riemannian metric g_B on $B \setminus \Pi$ with a set $\Pi \subset B$ of codimension 2. Furthermore, $B \setminus \Pi$ admits an affine manifold structure, and g_B is a Monge-Ampère metric on $B \setminus \Pi$ (see [39] for the definitions). This conjecture was proved for elliptic K3 surface with only I_1 singular fibers in [30]. It is interesting to construct some examples of Ricci-flat Calabi-Yau manifolds of higher dimension, which collapse to metric spaces of half dimension.

Let X be a K3 surface, which admits a holomorphic involution ι_1 such that $\iota_1^* \Omega = -\Omega$ for any holomorphic 2-form Ω , $T^2 = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z})$, and ι_2 be the holomorphic involution on T^2 given by $z \mapsto -z$. Then (ι_1, ι_2) induces a holomorphic $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action on $X \times T^2$, and $X \times T^2 / \langle (\iota_1, \iota_2) \rangle$ is a Calabi-Yau orbifold. If M is a crepant resolution of $X \times T^2 / \langle (\iota_1, \iota_2) \rangle$, M is called a Calabi-Yau manifold of Borcea-Voisin type (cf. [29]). Combining Corollary 1.1 and [30], we obtain:

Theorem 1.5 *There is a family $\{(M_k, g_k)\}$ of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds with Ricci-flat Kähler metrics such that M_k are homeomorphic to a Calabi-Yau man-*

ifold M of Borcea-Voisin type, and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}((M_k, g_k), (B, d_B)) = 0,$$

where (B, d_B) is a compact metric space, and B is homeomorphic to S^3 . Furthermore, d_B is induced by a Riemannian metric g_B on $B \setminus \Pi$, where $\Pi \subset B$ is a graph.

The organization of the paper is as follows: In §2, we review some notions and results, which will be used in this paper. In §3, some priori estimates will be obtained. In §4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1. In §5, we prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 and Corollaries 1.2, 1.3. Finally, in §6, we prove Theorem 1.5.

2 Preliminary

In this section, we review some notions and results, which will be used in this paper.

§2.1 Gromov-Hausdorff convergence. In [28], Gromov introduced the notion of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, which provides a frame to study families of Riemannian manifolds.

Definition 2.1 ([24]) For two compact metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) , a map $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an ϵ -approximation if $Y \subset \{y \in Y \mid d_Y(y, \psi(X)) < \epsilon\}$, and

$$|d_X(x_1, x_2) - d_Y(\psi(x_1), \psi(x_2))| < \epsilon$$

for any x_1 and $x_2 \in X$. The number

$$d_{GH}((X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)) = \inf \left\{ \epsilon \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{There are } \epsilon - \text{approximations} \\ \psi : X \rightarrow Y, \text{ and } \phi : Y \rightarrow X \end{array} \right\}$$

is called Gromov-Hausdorff distance between (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) (c.f. [28] [24]). The Gromov-Hausdorff distance induces a topology, the so called Gromov-Hausdorff topology, on the space of all isometric classes of compact metric spaces. We say that a family of compact metric spaces (X_k, d_{X_k}) convergence to a compact metric space (Y, d_Y) in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}((X_k, d_{X_k}), (Y, d_Y)) = 0.$$

Let (Y, d_Y) be a compact metric space. If $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ is a Lipschitz curve, define the length of γ by

$$\text{length}_{d_Y}(\gamma) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m d_Y(\gamma(s_{j-1}), \gamma(s_j)) \mid \text{for any } 0 = s_0 \leq \dots \leq s_m = 1 \right\},$$

(c.f. Chapter 1 of [28]). A metric space (Y, d_Y) is a path metric space if the distance between each pair of points equals the infimum of the lengths of Lipschitz curves joining the points (c.f. [28]), i.e.

$$d_Y(y_1, y_2) = \inf\{\text{length}_{d_Y}(\gamma) \mid \gamma \text{ is a Lipschitz curve with } y_1 = \gamma(0), y_2 = \gamma(1)\}.$$

Clearly Riemannian manifolds are path metric spaces. In [28], it is proved that a complete metric space (Y, d_Y) is a path metric space if there is a family of compact path metric spaces (X_k, d_{X_k}) converging to (Y, d_Y) in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Hence we obtain a completion of the space of all compact Riemannian manifolds in the space of compact path metric spaces. The following is the famous Gromov pre-compactness theorem:

Theorem 2.1 ([28]) *Let (M_k, g_k) be a family of compact Riemannian manifolds such that Ricci curvatures $\text{Ric}(g_k) \geq -C$, and diameters $\text{diam}_{g_k}(M_k) \leq C'$ where C and C' are constants in-dependent of k . Then, a subsequence of (M_k, g_k) converges to a compact path metric space (Y, d_Y) in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense.*

The Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of compact Riemannian manifolds under stronger curvature assumptions was studied by various authors (c.f. [28] [3] [24] [27]). For example, if (M_k, g_k) is a family compact Riemannian manifolds with uniform bounded sectional curvatures, uniform lower bound of volumes and uniform upper bound of diameters, the famous Cheeger-Gromov convergence theorem says that a subsequence of (M_k, g_k) converges to a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -Riemannian manifold in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -sense. The analogous convergence of Kähler manifolds was studied in [48].

Let (Y, d_Y) be a compact path metric space. For a closed subset $S_Y \subset Y$, an integer $l > 0$ and a $\eta > 0$, set

$$\mathcal{H}_\eta^l(S_Y) = \inf_{\{B_{d_Y}(p_i, r_i)\}} \varpi_l \sum_i r_i^l,$$

where $\{B_{d_Y}(p_i, r_i)\}$ is a collection of countable metric balls such that $\bigcup_i B_{d_Y}(p_i, r_i) \supset S_Y$, $r_i < \eta$, and ϖ_l is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^l$. Define the l -dimensional Hausdorff measure of S_Y by

$$\mathcal{H}^l(S_Y) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\eta^l(S_Y).$$

The Hausdorff dimension $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S_Y$ of S_Y is the non-negative number such that $\mathcal{H}^l(S_Y) = \infty$ for $l < \dim_{\mathcal{H}} S_Y$, and $\mathcal{H}^l(S_Y) = 0$ for $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S_Y < l$ (c.f. [12]).

Now let's consider compact Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds. The Gromov pre-compactness theorem shows that a family of compact Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds with a uniform upper bound of diameters converges to a compact path metric space by passing to a subsequence. The structure of the limit space was studied in [13], [16] and [15].

Theorem 2.2 ([12] [15]) *Let (M_k, g_k) be a family of compact Ricci-flat Kähler n -manifolds, and (Y, d_Y) be a compact path metric space such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}((M_k, g_k), (Y, d_Y)) = 0.$$

If

$$\text{Vol}_{g_k}(M_k) \geq C_1 > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{M_k} c_2(M_k) \wedge \omega_k^{n-1} \leq C_2,$$

for constants C_1 and C_2 independent of k , where $c_2(M_k)$ is the second Chern-class of M_k , and ω_k is the Kähler form of g_k , there is a closed subset $S \subset Y$ with Hausdorff dimension $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S \leq 2n - 4$ such that $Y \setminus S$ is a Ricci-flat Kähler n -manifold. Furthermore, off a subset of S with $(2n - 4)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero, S has only orbifold type singularities $\mathbb{C}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$, where Γ is a finite subgroup of $SU(2)$.

If M_k are K3 surfaces in the above theorem, [2] shows that Y is a K3 orbifold. However, if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_k \geq 3$, we do not know whether Y is an analytic variety or not.

§2.2 Calabi-Yau variety. Let N be a normal projective variety of dimension n , which is Cohen-Macaulay, and $\mathcal{K}_N$ be the canonical sheaf of N . All varieties considered in this paper are normal and Cohen-Macaulay. We call N Gorenstein if $\mathcal{K}_N$ is a rank one locally free sheaf. We say that N has only canonical singularities, if N is Gorenstein, and, for any resolution $\pi : M \rightarrow N$,

$$\mathcal{K}_M = \pi^* \mathcal{K}_N + \sum a_D D, \quad a_D \geq 0,$$

where D are exceptional divisors. A Calabi-Yau n -variety is a normal Gorenstein variety N of dimension n satisfying that N admits only canonical singularities, the dualizing sheaf of N is trivial, i.e. $\mathcal{K}_N \simeq \mathcal{O}_N$, and $H^2(N, \mathcal{O}_N) = \{0\}$. We call (M, π) a crepant resolution of N , if M is a compact Calabi-Yau n -manifold, and $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is a resolution, i.e. a bi-rational proper morphism satisfying that $\pi : M \setminus \pi^{-1}(S) \rightarrow N \setminus S$ is bi-holomorphic, where S is the singular set of N . From the definition, the dualizing sheaf $\mathcal{K}_N$ of a Calabi-Yau n -variety N has a global generator Ω , which is a holomorphic volume form on $N \setminus S$ in the usual sense. If (M, π) is a resolution of N , $\pi^* \Omega$ is holomorphic on M . Furthermore, $\pi^* \Omega$ is nowhere vanishing, if (M, π) is crepant. See [41] for more material of singularities and Calabi-Yau varieties.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $N \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be an irreducible Calabi-Yau n -variety with the holomorphic volume form Ω , and ψ is a non-trivial holomorphic function on N . Assume $N \cap B_R$ is a closed subvariety in B_R . Then for any $R' < R$, there exists $\epsilon, C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{N \cap B_{R'}} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} \leq C \quad \text{where } d\mu = (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}.$$

Proof: Since N admits only canonical singularities, there exists a resolution $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ with normal crossing exceptional divisors such that $\pi^*\Omega$ is holomorphic and in local coordinate $\pi^*\psi(z) = z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_n^{k_n} g(z)$ with $g(z)$ nowhere zero in the local neighborhood. Then locally, there is a holomorphic function $f(z)$ such that $\frac{\pi^*d\mu}{|\pi^*\psi|^{2\epsilon}} = \frac{|f(z)|^2 |dz d\bar{z}|}{|z_1|^{2\epsilon k_1} \cdots |z_n|^{2\epsilon k_n}}$, whose integral converges in the local neighborhood when $\epsilon > 0$ is small. By compactness of $\pi^{-1}(N \cap B_{R'})$, finitely many such local neighborhoods would cover $\pi^{-1}(N \cap B_{R'})$. Hence for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{N \cap B_{R'}} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} = \int_{\pi^{-1}(N \cap B_{R'})} \frac{\pi^*d\mu}{|\pi^*\psi|^{2\epsilon}} \leq C.$$

□

Let N be a normal projective n -variety with singular set S . For any $p \in S$ and a small neighborhood $U_p \subset N$ of p , a pluri-subharmonic function v (resp. strongly pluri-subharmonic, and pluri-harmonic) on U_p is an upper semi-continuous function with value in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, which is not locally $-\infty$, and extends to a pluri-subharmonic function $\tilde{v}$ (resp. strongly pluri-subharmonic, and pluri-harmonic) in some local embedding $U_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$. We call v smooth if and only if $\tilde{v}$ is smooth. A continuous function v is pluri-subharmonic if and only if the restriction of v to $U_p \setminus S$ is so [23]. A Kähler form ω (resp. its Kähler metric g) is a smooth Kähler form ω in the usual sense on the smooth part $N \setminus S$ of N , and, for any singular point $p \in S$, there is a neighborhood U_p , and a continuous strongly pluri-subharmonic function v on U_p such that $\omega = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} v$ on $U_p \cap N \setminus S$. We call ω (resp. g) smooth if v is smooth in the above sense. Otherwise, we call ω a singular Kähler form. The following property of smooth Kähler forms on normal analytic variety is standard, although we could not find its precise statement in the literature.

Proposition 2.2 *For any two smooth Kähler metrics g_1, g_2 on a normal analytic variety M , and $p \in M$, there exists a neighborhood U of p such that g_1 is quasi-isometric to g_2 on U .*

Proof: For $k = 1, 2$, let ω_k be the Kähler form of g_k . Since ω_k is smooth on M , there exists local embedding $i_k : (M, p) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{m_k}, 0)$ such that $\omega_k = i_k^* \tilde{\omega}_k$ on M , where $\tilde{\omega}_k$ is a smooth Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$. Since M is normal, by results in §7 of chapter II of [20], there exists $B_1 = B_{r_1}(0, \mathbb{C}^{m_1})$ such that the holomorphic map i_2 can be extended to a holomorphic map $F : (B_1, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{m_2}, 0)$. Namely, $i_2 = F \circ i_1$. Then there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $F^* \tilde{\omega}_2 \leq C_1 \tilde{\omega}_1$ on B_1 , and $\omega_2 = i_2^* \tilde{\omega}_2 = i_1^* \circ F^* \tilde{\omega}_2 \leq C_1 i_1^* \tilde{\omega}_1 = C_1 \omega_1$ on $i_1^{-1}(B_1) \subset M$. Similarly, $\omega_1 \leq C_2 \omega_2$ on $i_2^{-1}(B_2) \subset M$. Let $U := i_1^{-1}(B_1) \cap i_2^{-1}(B_2)$. Then $C_2^{-1} \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq C_1 \omega_1$ on U . □

If $\mathcal{PH}_N$ denotes the sheaf of pluri-harmonic functions on N , any Kähler form ω represents a class $[\omega]$ in $H^1(N, \mathcal{PH}_N)$ (c.f. Section 5.2 in [22]). Note

that $H^1(N, \mathcal{PH}_N) \cong H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R})$ if N is a smooth variety. We call a class $\alpha \in H^1(N, \mathcal{PH}_N)$ a Kähler class if α can be represented by a Kähler form. A Kähler form ω on a Calabi-Yau variety N is called Ricci-flat if the restriction of ω to the smooth part $N \setminus S$ is Ricci-flat.

If M is a compact Calabi-Yau manifold, Yau's theorem on the Calabi conjecture ([56]) says that, for any Kähler class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$, there exists a unique Ricci-flat Kähler form ω representing α . In ([22]), Yau's theorem was generalized to singular Calabi-Yau varieties.

Theorem 2.3 ([22]) *Let N be a Calabi-Yau n -variety, S be the singular set of N , and ω_0 be a smooth Kähler form on N . Then there is a unique Ricci-flat Kähler form ω with continuous potential function such that $\omega \in [\omega_0] \in H^1(N, \mathcal{PH}_N)$, i.e. there is a unique continuous function φ on N such that $\omega = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ is a Kähler form satisfying*

$$(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathcal{V}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}, \quad \sup_N \varphi = 0,$$

on the smooth part $N \setminus S$, where $\mathcal{V} = (\int_N \omega_0^n)^{-1} \int_{N \setminus S} (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$.

In [52], singular Ricci-flat Kähler metrics were constructed on projective manifolds of Kodaira dimension 0. If the Calabi-Yau variety N admits a crepant resolution (M, π) , and ω_0 is a smooth Kähler form on N , $\pi^*\omega_0$ is a smooth semi-positive $(1,1)$ -form on M , and the class $\pi^*[\omega_0] \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is big and semi-ample. The following convergence theorem was proved in [55].

Theorem 2.4 ([55]) *Let N be a Calabi-Yau n -variety, S be the singular set of N , and $\alpha \in H^1(N, \mathcal{PH}_N)$ be a class represented by a smooth Kähler form. Assume that N admits a crepant resolution (M, π) , and α_t , $t \in (0, 1]$, is a family of Kähler classes on M such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \alpha_t = \pi^*\alpha$ in $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$. Then ω_t , $t \in (0, 1]$, C^∞ -converges to $\pi^*\omega$ on any compact subset of $M \setminus \pi^{-1}(S)$, when $t \rightarrow 0$, where ω_t are Ricci-flat Kähler forms with $\omega_t \in \alpha_t$, and ω is the unique Ricci-flat Kähler form representing α .*

A projective n -orbifold is a normal projective n -variety with only quotient singularities, i.e. for any singular point p , there is a neighborhood U_p of p , a neighborhood V of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and a finite group $\Gamma_p \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that U_p is bi-holomorphic to V/Γ_p . We call Γ_p the orbifold group of p . Projective orbifolds are Cohen-Macaulay (c.f. [18]). An orbifold Kähler form ω (resp. the corresponding orbifold Kähler metric g) on a projective orbifold N is a Kähler form on the smooth part of N , and, on any neighborhood U_p of a singularity point p , ω is identified with a Γ_p -invariant Kähler form on V by the quotient map. Orbifolds share many of the good properties of manifolds. For example, De Rham cohomology and Dolbeault cohomology are well-defined on orbifolds, and have most of usual properties on manifolds (c.f. [4] [18] [50]). An orbifold Kähler form ω defines a $(1,1)$ -class $[\omega]$ in $H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R})$. We call a $(1,1)$ -class α

a Kähler class if it is represented by an orbifold Kähler form, and call the set $\mathbb{K}_N$ of such classes the Kähler cone of N , which is an open cone in $H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R})$. Another important fact is that an orbifold Kähler metric g on an orbifold induces a path metric space structure d_g on N (c.f. [8]). However, an orbifold Kähler form is not smooth in the sense of smooth Kähler forms on projective varieties. On the other hand, a smooth Kähler form in the sense of smooth Kähler forms on projective varieties is only a semi-positive $(1, 1)$ -form in the orbifold sense, but not an orbifold Kähler form.

Lemma 2.1 *Let N be a projective n -orbifold with $H^2(N, \mathcal{O}_N) = \{0\}$, and $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R})$ be a class represented by an orbifold Kähler form. Then α can be represented by a semi-positive orbifold $(1, 1)$ -form ω_0 , which is a smooth Kähler form in the sense of smooth Kähler forms on projective varieties.*

Proof: By the hypothesis, $H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(N, \mathbb{C})$, $H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$ is not empty, and $H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^2(N, \mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R})$. Note that, for any orbifold Kähler form ω , $[\omega] = \sum_{i=1}^I a_i \alpha_i$ where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}_N \cap H^2(N, \mathbb{Q})$, and $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. For any i , there is an integer $\nu_i > 0$ such that $\nu_i \alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}_N \cap H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$. By the orbifold version of Kodaira's embedding theorem (c.f. [4]), there is an integer $\mu_i > 0$ such that $\mu_i \nu_i \alpha_i$ induces an embedding $\iota_{\alpha_i} : N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{m_i}$, for some $m_i > 0$, which satisfies $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{\mu_i \nu_i} \iota_{\alpha_i}^* c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$, where $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the hyperplane line bundle on $\mathbb{CP}^{m_i}$. If we denote $\omega_{FS,i}$ the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{CP}^{m_i}$, then $\omega_0 = \sum_{i=1}^I a_i \frac{1}{\mu_i \nu_i} \iota_{\alpha_i}^* \omega_{FS,i} \in [\omega]$, which is a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form in the sense of orbifold forms, and is a smooth Kähler form in the sense of smooth Kähler forms on projective varieties. $\square$

A Calabi-Yau n -orbifold is a projective orbifold N of dimension n satisfying that $H^2(N, \mathcal{O}_N) = \{0\}$, N admits orbifold Kähler metrics, all of orbifold groups are finite subgroups of $SU(n)$, and the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_N$ of N is trivial. Note that a Calabi-Yau orbifold is Gorenstein, and, thus, has only canonical singularities (c.f. Appendix A in [18]). Hence a Calabi-Yau orbifold N is a Calabi-Yau variety in the above sense. By the same arguments as Yau's proof of the Calabi conjecture, for any Kähler class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R})$ on a Calabi-Yau orbifold N , there exists a unique orbifold Ricci-flat Kähler metric g on N with Kähler form $\omega \in \alpha$ ([56] and [35]). Note that there is a smooth Kähler form ω_0 in the sense of smooth Kähler forms on projective varieties with $\omega_0 \in \alpha$ by Lemma 2.1, and ω is actually the solution given in Theorem 2.3 by the uniqueness of that theorem. However, we know that ω induces a path metric space structure d_g on N in the orbifold case [8].

Let $T^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n / (\mathbb{Z}^n + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}^n)$, and Γ be a finite group, which has a holomorphic action on T^{2n} preserving the flat Kähler form $\omega_0 = \sqrt{-1} \sum dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i$ and the holomorphic volume form $\Omega_0 = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$, but not holomorphic 2-forms. Then T^{2n}/Γ is a complex orbifold, ω_0 induces a flat orbifold Kähler metric on T^{2n}/Γ , and Ω_0 induces a nowhere vanishing holomorphic n -form on T^{2n}/Γ , which implies the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{T^{2n}/\Gamma}$ is trivial. Since $H^{p,q}(T^{2n}/\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the fixed subspace of $H^{p,q}(T^{2n})$ under the natural action Γ on

$H^{p,q}(T^{2n})$, we have $H^{2,0}(T^{2n}/\Gamma) = \{0\}$. Thus T^{2n}/Γ is a projective variety by the orbifold version of Kodaira's embedding theorem (c.f. [4]), and is a Calabi-Yau orbifold. Assume that T^{2n}/Γ admits a crepant resolution (M, π) . If $n = 3$, T^6/Γ always admits a crepant resolution by [46]. By Yau's theorem, there are Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on M , but maybe none of them can be written down explicitly. However, from Corollary 1.1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a Ricci-flat Kähler metric g_ε on M such that the Gromov-Hausdorff distance between (M, g_ε) and T^{2n}/Γ is less than ε . This means that we can find Ricci-flat Kähler metrics g_ε on M such that the Ricci-flat manifolds (M, g_ε) look like the flat orbifold T^{2n}/Γ as close as we want.

Now, we consider Calabi-Yau varieties with a different type of singularity. Let M_0 be a Calabi-Yau n -variety with only finite many ordinary double points $S = \{p_\alpha\}$ as singular points, i.e. for any $p_\alpha \in S$, the singularity of M_0 is given by

$$\{z_1^2 + \cdots + z_{n+1}^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}.$$

We call M_0 a Calabi-Yau n -conifold. Note that ordinary double points are not orbifold singularities when $n \geq 3$. Let $M_t \subset \mathbb{CP}^4$ be the hypersurface given by

$$f_t = z_3 \mathbf{g}(z_0, \dots, z_4) + z_4 \mathbf{h}(z_0, \dots, z_4) - t(z_0^5 + \cdots + z_4^5) = 0, \quad t \in \Delta \subset \mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{h}$ are generic homogeneous polynomials of degree 4, and $z_0, \dots, z_4$ are homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{CP}^4$. If $t = 0$, M_0 is a projective Calabi-Yau 3-conifold with 16 ordinary double points as singular set $S = \{z_3 = z_4 = \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{h} = 0\}$ (c.f. [47]). If $\mathcal{M} = \{([z_0, \dots, z_4], t) | f_t = 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^4 \times \Delta$ and $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ is induced by the projection from $\mathbb{CP}^4 \times \Delta$ to Δ , it is easy to check that $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ is a smoothing of M_0 , and the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is trivial. Applying Theorem 1.2, we obtain that, for any $t_k \rightarrow 0$, and any smooth embedding $F : M_0 \setminus S \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $F(M_0 \setminus S \times \{t\}) \subset M_t$ and $F|_{M_0 \setminus S \times \{0\}} : M_0 \setminus S \rightarrow M_0 \setminus S$ is the identity map, i.e. $F|_{M_0 \setminus S \times \{0\}} = \text{Id}$, we have

$$F|_{M_0 \setminus S \times \{t_k\}}^* \tilde{g}_{t_k} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_0, \quad \text{and} \quad F|_{M_0 \setminus S \times \{t_k\}}^* \tilde{\omega}_{t_k} \rightarrow \tilde{\omega}_0$$

in the C^∞ -sense on any compact subset $K \subset M_0 \setminus S$, where $\tilde{g}_0$ is the unique singular Ricci-flat Kähler metric on M_0 with Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}_0$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_0 \in [\omega|_{M_0}] \in H^1(M_0, \mathcal{PH}_{M_0})$.

Assume that the Calabi-Yau n -variety M_0 admits a crepant resolution $(\hat{M}, \hat{\pi})$, and there is a smoothing of M_0 to a Calabi-Yau manifold M . The process of going from $\hat{M}$ to M (or from M to $\hat{M}$) is called a geometric transition. The geometric transition provides a method to connect two topologically distinct Calabi-Yau manifolds. In mathematics, it is related to the famous Reid's fantasy (c.f. [45]), and, in physics, this process connects topologically distinct space-times in string theory (c.f. [9] [1] [10] [26] [47]). In [9], it is conjectured that there exists a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics $\hat{g}_s$, $s \in (0, 1)$, on $\hat{M}$, and a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics g_s , $s \in (0, 1)$, on M , which correspond to different complex structures, satisfying that $\{(\hat{M}, \hat{g}_s)\}$ and $\{(M, g_s)\}$ converge to the same limit in a suitable sense, for example in the Gromov-Hausdorff

sense, when $s \rightarrow 0$. For the sake of string theory, physicists conjectured that all Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds are connected each other by performing geometric transitions finite times (c.f. [1] [10] [26] [47]), and form a huge web, which is called the connectedness conjecture. Combing these conjectures from physicists, it seems that the Gromov-Hausdorff topology is a suitable frame to present the connectedness conjecture:

Conjecture 2.1 (Metric geometry version of the connectedness conjecture)

We denote $(\mathcal{MET}, d_{GH})$ the set of all isometry classes of compact metric spaces with Gromov-Hausdorff topology, and $\mathcal{CY}(3) \subset \mathcal{MET}$ the subset such that each element of $\mathcal{CY}(3)$ can be represented by a simply connected Ricci-flat Calabi-Yau Kähler 3-manifold (M, g) with $Vol_g(M) = 1$. Then the closure $\overline{\mathcal{CY}(3)}$ of $\mathcal{CY}(3)$ in $(\mathcal{MET}, d_{GH})$ is connected.

§2.3 Complex Monge-Ampère Equation and Capacities. Let X be a Stein manifold of dimension n , and U be an open subset of X . We denote $\text{PSH}(U)$ the space of pluri-subharmonic functions on U . If $u \in \text{PSH}(U)$, $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u$ is a semi-positive $(1,1)$ -current on U . In the pioneer work [7], it is shown that $(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u \wedge \cdots \wedge \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u$ is a well-defined semi-positive (n,n) -current on U , if $u \in \text{PSH}(U) \cap L^\infty(U)$. The operator $(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n$ on the space of locally bounded pluri-subharmonic functions is called Monge-Ampère operator. The following is the comparison principle for Monge-Ampère operators.

Theorem 2.5 ([7]) *If*

$$u, v \in \text{PSH}(U) \cap L^\infty(U), \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow \partial U} (u - v)(z) \geq 0,$$

$$\text{then} \quad \int_{\{u < v\}} (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v)^n \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n.$$

In [7], Bedford and Taylor introduced the notion of relative capacity, which is very useful in the studying of Monge-Ampère operators. If K is a compact subset of U , the relative capacity of K is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, U) = \sup \left\{ \int_K (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n \mid u \in \text{PSH}(U), -1 \leq u < 0 \right\}.$$

The relative capacity has the property of decreasing under holomorphic mappings (c.f. [7]), i.e. if $F : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ is holomorphic, then

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, U_1) \geq \text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(F(K), U_2).$$

By combining Bedford-Taylor's work and Yau's solution of Calabi conjecture, [38] solved the Monge-Ampère equation on a compact Kähler manifold under weak assumptions on the right-hand side. Particularly, a C^0 -estimate for Monge-Ampère equations was obtained under a very weak condition in [38].

Theorem 2.6 (Lemma 2.3.1 in [38]) *Let U be a strictly pseudoconvex subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $v \in \text{PSH}(U)$ with $\|v\|_{L^\infty(U)} < C$. Suppose that $u \in \text{PSH}(U) \cap L^\infty(U)$ satisfies the following conditions: $u < 0$, $u(z) > C'$ ($z \in U$), and*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_K (\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u)^n \leq A \text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, U) [h((\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, U))^{-\frac{1}{n}})]^{-1},$$

for any compact subset K of U , where $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ is an increasing function which fulfills the inequality

$$\int_1^\infty (y h^{\frac{1}{n}}(y))^{-1} dy < \infty.$$

If the sets $U(s) = \{u - s < v\} \cap U''$ are non-empty and relatively compact in $U'' \subset U' \subset U$ for $s \in [S, S + D]$ then $\inf_{U''} u$ is bounded from below by a constant depending on A, C, C', D, h, U', U , but independent of u, v, U'' .

The key argument of this theorem can be formulated into the following technical lemma that we will need later.

Lemma 2.2 *Assume that $a(s)$ is increasing, $t^n a(s) \leq A a(s+t)/h(a(s+t)^{-\frac{1}{n}})$ for any $[s, s+t] \subset [S, S+D]$ and $\int_1^\infty (y h^{\frac{1}{n}}(y))^{-1} dy < \infty$. Then there exists $C > 0$ independent of S such that $a(S+D) \geq C$.*

Proof: The condition on $a(s)$ can be rewritten as $t \leq \frac{A^{\frac{1}{n}} a(s)^{-\frac{1}{n}}}{a(s+t)^{-\frac{1}{n}} h^{\frac{1}{n}}(a(s+t)^{-\frac{1}{n}})}$. For $S = t_0 < \dots < t_N = S + D$ such that $a(t_i)^{-\frac{1}{n}} = 2a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}}$ when $i \geq 1$ and $a(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \leq 2a(t_1)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{i+1} - t_i) &\leq \frac{A^{\frac{1}{n}} a(t_i)^{-\frac{1}{n}}}{a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}} h^{\frac{1}{n}}(a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}})}. \\ 0 < D = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{A^{\frac{1}{n}} a(t_i)^{-\frac{1}{n}}}{a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}} h^{\frac{1}{n}}(a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}})}, \\ &\leq \frac{A^{\frac{1}{n}} a(t_{N-1})^{-\frac{1}{n}}}{a(S+D)^{-\frac{1}{n}} h^{\frac{1}{n}}(a(S+D)^{-\frac{1}{n}})} + \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \frac{A^{\frac{1}{n}} a(t_i)^{-\frac{1}{n}}}{a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}} - a(t_{i+2})^{-\frac{1}{n}}} \frac{a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}} - a(t_{i+2})^{-\frac{1}{n}}}{a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}} h^{\frac{1}{n}}(a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}})}, \\ &\leq \frac{2A^{\frac{1}{n}}}{h^{\frac{1}{n}}(a(S+D)^{-\frac{1}{n}})} + \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} 4A^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{a(t_{i+2})^{-\frac{1}{n}}}^{a(t_{i+1})^{-\frac{1}{n}}} \frac{dy}{y h^{\frac{1}{n}}(y)}, \\ &\leq \frac{2A^{\frac{1}{n}}}{h^{\frac{1}{n}}(a(S+D)^{-\frac{1}{n}})} + 4A^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{a(S+D)^{-\frac{1}{n}}}^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{y h^{\frac{1}{n}}(y)} =: L(a(S+D)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} L(s) = 0$. Hence there exists $C > 0$ independent of S such that $a(S+D) \geq C$. $\square$

By Section 2.5 in [38], if there is a function $f \in L^p(d\mu)$, $p > 1$, such that $(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n = f d\mu$, where $d\mu$ is the standard Lebesgue measure, then Condition 2.3 is satisfied. In this case, we can choose $h(y) = (1 + \log(1 + y))^{2n}$.

In [32], the notion of relative capacity was generalized to global capacity on a compact Kähler manifold (M, ω) of dimension n . For any compact subset $K \subset M$, the global capacity of K is

$$\text{Cap}_\omega(K) = \sup \left\{ \int_K (\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^n \mid \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \psi \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The following properties will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 2.3 (Proposition 2.5 and 2.6 in [32]) *Let (M, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n .*

- (i) *If $K \subset K' \subset M$, then $\text{Cap}_\omega(K) \leq \text{Cap}_\omega(K')$.*
- (ii) *For all $A > 1$, $\text{Cap}_\omega(\cdot) \leq \text{Cap}_{A\omega}(\cdot) \leq A^n \text{Cap}_\omega(\cdot)$.*
- (iii) *If ψ is a function on M satisfying that $\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq 0$, and $\psi < 0$, then*

$$\text{Cap}_\omega(\{\psi < -s\}) \leq \frac{1}{s} \left(- \int_M \psi \omega^n + n \text{Vol}_\omega(M) \right), \quad \text{for all } s > 0.$$

Lemma 2.3 *Fix $\chi \in C^\infty(M) \cap \text{PSH}_{C_1\omega}(M)$ such that $-1 \leq \chi \leq 0$, $\chi = 0$ outside of the open subset $V \subset M$. For any compact subset $K \subset V$ such that $\chi = -1$ on K , we have*

$$\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, V) \leq C_1^n \text{Cap}_\omega(K).$$

Proof: Let $u \in \text{PSH}(V)$ with $-1 \leq u < 0$. $\phi = \max(u, \chi)$ is well defined on M and is in $\text{PSH}_{C_1\omega}(M)$. Clearly, $\phi = u$ on K .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n &= \int_K (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)^n \\ &\leq \int_K (C_1\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)^n \leq \text{Cap}_{C_1\omega}(K) \leq C_1^n \text{Cap}_\omega(K). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the definition of relative capacity,

$$\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, V) \leq C_1^n \text{Cap}_\omega(K).$$

□

Lemma 2.4 *There exists $A > 0$ (depending on $c_1, C_1 > 0$) such that for any branched covering map $\mathfrak{p} : V \rightarrow B_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of degree $\leq m$ satisfying*

$$\int_V |f|^{-2c_1} (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \leq C_1, \quad \text{where } f\Omega = \mathfrak{p}^* \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n},$$

and compact subset $K \subset V$, where V is an open subset in a stein manifold X with a Calabi-Yau form Ω , we have

$$\int_K (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \leq Am \frac{\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, V)}{h(\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, V)^{-\frac{1}{n}})}.$$

Proof: Let $d\mu = (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ and $d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n} = (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n} \wedge \bar{\Omega}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$.

$$\int_K d\mu \leq \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}(K)) \cap V} d\mu \leq m \int_{\mathfrak{p}(K)} \frac{d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n}}{\min_{V \cap \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(z)} |f|^2}.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathfrak{p}(K)} \frac{d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n}}{\min_{V \cap \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(z)} |f|^{2(1+\epsilon)}} \leq \int_V |f|^{-2\epsilon} d\mu \leq C_1,$$

according to section 2.5 in [38], and (2.2),

$$\int_{\mathfrak{p}(K)} \frac{d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n}}{\min_{V \cap \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(z)} |f|^2} \leq A \frac{\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(\mathfrak{p}(K), B_1)}{h(\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(\mathfrak{p}(K), B_1)^{-\frac{1}{n}})} \leq A \frac{\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, V)}{h(\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(K, V)^{-\frac{1}{n}})}.$$

□

3 A priori estimates

§3.1 A priori estimate for diameters of Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds. In this section, we give a priori estimate for diameters of Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds, which is used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.1 *Let (M, ω, g) be a compact Kähler n -manifold with $c_1(M) = 0$, and $\{g_k\}$ be a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics with Kähler forms ω_k . Then there exists a constant C in-dependent of k such that the diameters $\text{diam}_{g_k}(M)$ of (M, g_k) satisfy that*

$$(3.1) \quad \text{diam}_{g_k}(M) \leq 32n + C \left(\int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1} \right)^n.$$

This result is from the second author's thesis [61]. In a recent paper [55], it is also obtained by Tosatti independently. However, for the completeness, we present the details of the proof here. For proving this theorem, we need a reformulation of Lemma 1.3 in [21].

Lemma 3.1 *Let (M, ω, g) be a compact Kähler n -manifold, and $\{g_k\}$ be a family of Kähler metrics with Kähler forms ω_k . Then, for any $0 < \delta < \text{Vol}_g(M)$, there are open subsets $U_{k, \delta}$ of M such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Vol}_g(U_{k, \delta}) \geq \text{Vol}_g(M) - \delta, \text{ and } \text{diam}_{g_k}^2(U_{k, \delta}) \leq C \delta^{-1} \int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1},$$

where C is a constant independent of k .

The only difference between Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 1.3 in [21] is that we use the quantity $\int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1}$ instead of assuming $\omega_k \in [\omega]$. The proof of the lemma is the same as the proof of Lemma 1.3 in [21]. For readers' convenience, we present the sketched proof here.

Proof: First, suppose that K is a compact convex set in some coordinate open set of M . On K , $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta$, $g_0 = \text{Re} \sum dz^\alpha d\bar{z}^\alpha$ and $\omega_0 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\alpha$. We join $x_1 \in K$, $x_2 \in K$ by the segment $[x_1, x_2] \subset K$, and denote $d\mu = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2^n n!} dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^n$ the Lebesgue measure of K . Note that, for any $v \in TK$, $g_k(v, v) \leq \text{tr}_{g_0} g_k g_0(v, v)$. By Fubini Theorem and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{K \times K} \text{length}_{g_k}([x_1, x_2])^2 d\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2) \\
&= \int_{K \times K} \left(\int_0^1 \sqrt{g_k((1-t)x_1 + tx_2)(x_2 - x_1)} dt \right)^2 d\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2) \\
&\leq |x_2 - x_1|_{g_0}^2 \int_0^1 dt \int_{K \times K} \text{tr}_{g_0} g_k((1-t)x_1 + tx_2) d\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2) \\
&\leq 2^{2n} \text{diam}_{g_0}^2 K \cdot \text{Vol}_{g_0}(K) \cdot \int_K \omega_k \wedge \omega_0^{n-1} \\
&\leq C_K \int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where C_K is a constant independent of k . The second inequality is obtained by integrating first with respect to $y = (1-t)x_1$ when $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, resp. $y = tx_2$ when $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (Note that $d\mu(x_i) \leq 2^{2n} d\mu(y)$). If

$$(3.3) \quad S = \{(x_1, x_2) \in K \times K \mid \text{length}_{g_k}^2([x_1, x_2]) > \frac{C_K}{\delta} \int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1}\},$$

then

$$\text{Vol}_{g_0 \times g_0}(S) < \delta.$$

Let

$$S(x_1) = \{x_2 \in K \mid (x_1, x_2) \in S\}, \text{ and } Q = \{x_1 \in K \mid \text{Vol}_{g_0}(S(x_1)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}_{g_0}(K)\}.$$

(3.4)

By Fubini Theorem, we obtain that

$$\text{Vol}_{g_0}(Q) < \frac{2\delta}{\text{Vol}_{g_0}(K)}.$$

For any $x_1, x_2 \in K \setminus Q$, we have $\text{Vol}_{g_0}(S(x_j)) < \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}_{g_0}(K)$. Thus $K \setminus S(x_1) \cap K \setminus S(x_2)$ is not empty. If $y \in K \setminus S(x_1) \cap K \setminus S(x_2)$, then $(x_1, y), (x_2, y) \in (K \times K) \setminus S$, and

$$(3.5) \quad \text{length}_{g_k}^2([x_1, y] \cup [y, x_2]) \leq 2 \frac{C_K}{\delta} \int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

By continuity, a similar estimate still holds for any two points $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{K \setminus Q}$, with some $y \in K$. Let $K_{k,\delta} = \overline{K \setminus Q}$. Then

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Vol}_g(K \setminus K_{k,\delta}) \leq \text{Vol}_g(Q) \leq C_1 \text{Vol}_{g_0}(Q) < C_1 \frac{2\delta}{\text{Vol}_{g_0}(K)} = C_{2,K} \delta.$$

Now we cover M with finitely many compact convex coordinate patches K_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, such that $\text{int}K_i \cap \text{int}K_{i+1}$ are not empty. Then, by above arguments, there exist $K_{i,\delta} \subset K_i$ with $\text{Vol}_g(K_i \setminus K_{i,\delta}) < C_{2,K_i} \delta$ such that any pair of points in $K_{i,\delta}$ can be joined by a path of length $\leq C_{K_i} \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If we take $C_{2,K_i} \delta < \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}_g(K_i \cap K_{i+1})$ for every i , then $(K_i \setminus K_{i,\delta}) \cup (K_{i+1} \setminus K_{i+1,\delta})$ can not contain $K_i \cap K_{i+1}$ and therefore $K_{i,\delta} \cap K_{i+1,\delta}$ are not empty. This implies that any $x \in K_{i,\delta}$ can be joined to any $y \in K_{j,\delta}$ by a piecewise smooth path of length $\leq NC_3 \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $C_3 = \max\{C_{K_i}\}$. Then we obtain the conclusion by taking $U_{k,\delta} = \bigcup_i K_{i,\delta}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.1: First, we can assume that g is a Ricci-flat Kähler metric. Then

$$0 = \text{Ric}_{g_k} - \text{Ric}_g = -\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{\omega_k^n}{\omega^n}.$$

By Hodge Theory, there exist constants A_k such that

$$(3.7) \quad \omega_k^n = e^{A_k} \omega^n.$$

Then, we have

$$(3.8) \quad e^{A_k} = \frac{\int_M \omega_k^n}{\int_M \omega^n} = \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_k}(M)}{\text{Vol}_g(M)}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, for any $\delta > 0$, there are open subsets $U_{k,\delta}$ of M such that

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Vol}_g(U_{k,\delta}) \geq \text{Vol}_g(M) - \delta, \text{ and } \text{diam}_{g_k}^2(U_{k,\delta}) \leq C \delta^{-1} \int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1},$$

where C is a constant in-dependent of k . Let $p_k \in U_{k,\delta}$, $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}_g(M)$ and

$$(3.10) \quad r = \max\{1, 2C \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\}.$$

Thus

$$(3.11) \quad \text{Vol}_g(B_{g_k}(p_k, r)) \geq \text{Vol}_g(U_{k,\delta}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}_g(M).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(p_k, r))}{n!} = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{B_{g_k}(p_k, r)} \omega_k^n = e^{A_k} \text{Vol}_g(B_{g_k}(p_k, r)) \geq \frac{e^{A_k}}{2} \text{Vol}_g(M).$$

By Bishop-Gromov theorem,

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(p_k, 1))}{\text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(p_k, r))} \geq \frac{1}{r^{2n}}.$$

Hence

$$(3.14) \quad \text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(p_k, 1)) \geq \frac{e^{A_k}}{2r^{2n}} \text{Vol}_g(M) = \frac{1}{2r^{2n}} \text{Vol}_{g_k}(M).$$

Now we need:

Lemma 3.2 (Lemma 2.3 in [42]) *Let (M, g) be a $2n$ -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Then, for all points $p \in M$ and all radiuses $1 < R < \text{diam}_g(M)$, we have*

$$\frac{\text{Vol}_g(B_p(2R+2))}{\text{Vol}_g(B_p(1))} \geq \frac{R-1}{2n}.$$

See [42] or Theorem 4.1 of Chapter in [51] for the proof. By letting $R = \frac{1}{2}\text{diam}_{g_k}(M)$, we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \text{diam}_{g_k}(M) \leq 2 + 8n \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_k}(M)}{\text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(p_k, 1))} < 2 + 16nr^{2n}.$$

Thus, by (3.10), we obtain that

$$\text{diam}_{g_k}(M) \leq 32n + C \left(\int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1} \right)^n,$$

where C is a constant independent of k . $\square$

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Gromov's precompactness theorem (c.f. [28]).

Corollary 3.1 *Let (M, ω, g) be a compact Kähler n -manifold with $c_1(M) = 0$, and $\{g_k\}$ be a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics with Kähler forms ω_k . If*

$$\int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega^{n-1} \leq C,$$

for a constant C independent of k , then a subsequence of $\{(M, g_k)\}$ converges to a compact metric space (Y, d_Y) in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.

§3.2 An estimate for the first eigenvalue. Let M_0 be a projective variety of dimension n , S be the singular set of M_0 , and $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a smoothing of M_0 in $\mathbb{CP}^N$ over the unit disc $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$ as defined in the introduction. Our definition implies that $\pi : \mathcal{M} \setminus S \rightarrow \Delta$ is a smooth fibration. Then since only the central fibre is singular by definition, we have that $\mathcal{M}$ is a complex subvariety in $\mathbb{CP}^N \times \Delta$ of dimension $n+1$ with singular set $\mathcal{S} \subset S \subset M_0$.

We denote g_{FS} the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{CP}^N$. Let $\bar{g} = (g_{FS} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|t|^2)|_{\mathcal{M}}$, and $\bar{g}_t = \bar{g}|_{M_t}$. By using Li-Tian's estimate on heat kernels ([44]) and Davis' result ([19]), there is a uniform Sobolev constant on all $(M_t, \bar{g}_t)$, i.e. there is

a constant $\bar{C}_S > 0$ independent of t such that, for any $t \neq 0$, and any smooth function χ on M_t ,

$$\|\chi\|_{L^{\frac{4n}{2n-2}}(\bar{g}_t)} \leq \bar{C}_S(\|d\chi\|_{L^2(\bar{g}_t)} + \|\chi\|_{L^2(\bar{g}_t)}),$$

(c.f. [60]).

Proposition 3.1 *If g is a smooth Kähler metric on the normal analytic variety $\mathcal{M}$, and $g_t = g|_{M_t}$, then for any $c \in (0, 1)$, there is a uniform Sobolev constant $C_S > 0$ on (M_t, g_t) independent of t satisfying $0 < |t| \leq c$, i.e. for any such t , and any smooth function χ on M_t ,*

$$\|\chi\|_{L^{\frac{4n}{2n-2}}(g_t)} \leq C_S(\|d\chi\|_{L^2(g_t)} + \|\chi\|_{L^2(g_t)}).$$

Proof: Since $g, \bar{g}$ are smooth, $\mathcal{M}$ is normal and $\mathcal{M} \cap \{|t| \leq c\}$ is compact, Proposition 2.2 implies that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1}\bar{g} \leq g \leq C\bar{g}$ on $\mathcal{M} \cap \{|t| \leq c\}$. Then $C^{-1}\bar{g}_t \leq g_t \leq C\bar{g}_t$ for $|t| \leq c$. As consequence, we obtain a uniform Sobolev constant $C_S > 0$ on (M_t, g_t) independent of t satisfying $0 < |t| \leq c$. $\square$

Proposition 3.2 *Let M_0 be an irreducible projective variety of dimension n , and $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a smoothing of M_0 in $\mathbb{CP}^N$ over the unit disc $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$. If g is a smooth Kähler metric on $\mathcal{M}$, and $g_t = g|_{M_t}$, then there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of t such that*

$$\lambda_{1,t} > C,$$

where $\lambda_{1,t}$ is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian Δ_t on (M_t, g_t) .

This result can be obtained from the main theorem in [60]. However, for the completeness, we give an independent proof here.

Proof: If it is not true, then there exists $t_k \in \Delta \rightarrow 0$ such that $\lambda_{1,k} = \lambda_{1,t_k} \rightarrow 0$ with eigenfunctions ϕ_k satisfying $\Delta_{t_k} \phi_k = -\lambda_{1,t_k} \phi_k$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_{t_k}} \phi_k &= 0, \quad \int_{M_{t_k}} |\phi_k|^2 = 1. \\ \|\phi_k\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(g_{t_k})} &\leq C_S(\|d\phi_k\|_{L^2(g_{t_k})} + \|\phi_k\|_{L^2(g_{t_k})}) \\ &= C_S(1 + \lambda_{1,k}^{\frac{1}{2}})\|\phi_k\|_{L^2(g_{t_k})} = C_S(1 + \lambda_{1,k}^{\frac{1}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.1, the above Sobolev constant C_S is independent of k . For any compact set $K \subset M_0 \setminus S$, $F_{t_k}^* g_{t_k}$ C^∞ converges to g_0 on K . $\{F_{t_k}^* \phi_k\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(K)$, therefore is weakly relative compact by Banach-Alaoglu theorem. May assume it weakly converges to $\phi_0 \in W^{1,2}(K, g_0)$, and the convergence is strong in $L^2(K, g_0)$. By lower semi-continuity of norm under weak limit,

$$0 \leq \|d\phi_0\|_{L^2(K, g_0)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|dF_{t_k}^* \phi_k\|_{L^2(K, g_0)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|d\phi_k\|_{L^2(M_{t_k}, g_{t_k})} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1,k}^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$

Hence ϕ_0 is locally constant on K . Since M_0 is irreducible, we may assume K is connected. Then ϕ_0 is a constant on K .

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{M_{t_k} \setminus F_{t_k}(K)} \phi_k \right| &\leq \|\phi_k\|_{L^2(g_{t_k})} |\text{Vol}_{g_{t_k}}(M_{t_k} \setminus F_{t_k}(K))|^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\text{Vol}_{g_{t_k}}(M_{t_k} \setminus F_{t_k}(K))|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \int_{M_{t_k} \setminus F_{t_k}(K)} |\phi_k|^2 &\leq \|\phi_k\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(g_{t_k})}^2 |\text{Vol}_{g_{t_k}}(M_{t_k} \setminus F_{t_k}(K))|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq C_S (1 + \lambda_{1,k}^{\frac{1}{2}}) |\text{Vol}_{g_{t_k}}(M_{t_k} \setminus F_{t_k}(K))|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ 0 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M_{t_k}} \phi_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M_{t_k} \setminus F_{t_k}(K)} \phi_k + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_K F_{t_k}^* \phi_k \\ \text{Vol}_{g_0}(K) |\phi_0| &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_K F_{t_k}^* \phi_k \right| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{M_{t_k} \setminus F_{t_k}(K)} \phi_k \right| \leq |\text{Vol}_{g_0}(M_0 \setminus K)|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \\ 1 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M_{t_k}} |\phi_k|^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M_{t_k} \setminus F_{t_k}(K)} |\phi_k|^2 + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_K |F_{t_k}^* \phi_k|^2 \\ &\leq C_S |\text{Vol}_{g_0}(M_0 \setminus K)|^{\frac{1}{n}} + \text{Vol}_{g_0}(K) |\phi_0|^2. \\ &\leq C_S |\text{Vol}_{g_0}(M_0 \setminus K)|^{\frac{1}{n}} + \text{Vol}_{g_0}(M_0 \setminus K) / \text{Vol}_{g_0}(K). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction when K is chosen large enough. $\square$

Remark: If we remove the hypothesis that M_0 is irreducible in the above proposition, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{m-1,t} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{m,t} > C,$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of t , where $m \geq 1$ is the number of irreducible components of M_0 by the main theorem in [60]. $\square$

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.3 *Let M_0 be an irreducible projective variety of dimension n , which admits a smoothing $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ in $\mathbb{CP}^N$ over the unit disc $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$. Let g be a smooth Kähler metric on $\mathcal{M}$, $g_t = g|_{M_t}$, and ω_t be the Kähler form of g_t . For any $t \neq 0$, if φ_t is a smooth function satisfying that $\omega_t + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_t$ is a Kähler form on $M_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$, and $\sup_{M_t} \varphi_t = 0$, then there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of t such that*

$$\int_{M_t} \varphi_t \omega_t^n \geq -C.$$

Proof: We assume $\text{Vol}_{\omega_t}(M_t) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{M_t} \omega_t^n = \frac{1}{n!}$ for convenience. If $H_t(x, y, s)$ denotes the heat kernel on (M_t, ω_t) , and $K_t(x, y, s) = H_t(x, y, s) - n!$, then the Green function on (M_t, ω_t) is $G_t(x, y) = \int_1^\infty K_t(x, y, s) ds$. Note that

$$(3.16) \quad K_t(x, y, s) \geq -K_t^{\frac{1}{2}}(x, x, s)K_t^{\frac{1}{2}}(y, y, s),$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad K_t(x, x, s) \leq K_t(x, x, 1)e^{-\lambda_{1,t}(s-1)},$$

where $\lambda_{1,t} > 0$ is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on (M_t, ω_t) (c.f. Lemma 3.1 in [40] and [11]).

Since M_0 has only one irreducible component, there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of t such that

$$\lambda_{1,t} \geq C,$$

by Proposition 3.2. For any smooth function χ on M_t with $\int_{M_t} \chi \omega_t^n = 0$, we have

$$\int_{M_t} |d\chi|^2 \omega_t^n \geq \lambda_{1,t} \int_{M_t} \chi^2 \omega_t^n \geq C \int_{M_t} \chi^2 \omega_t^n.$$

Then, by Proposition 3.1, we have a uniformly Sobolev inequality

$$\|\chi\|_{L^{\frac{4n}{2n-2}}(\omega_t)} \leq C_S(\|d\chi\|_{L^2(\omega_t)} + \|\chi\|_{L^2(\omega_t)}) = C_S(1 + \lambda_{1,t}^{-\frac{1}{2}})\|d\chi\|_{L^2(\omega_t)} \leq \bar{C}_S\|d\chi\|_{L^2(\omega_t)},$$

for a constant $\bar{C}_S > 0$ independent of t . Since $\int_{M_t} K_t(x, y, s) \omega_t^n(y) = 0$, by the same arguments as the proof of Equation (3.12) in [57],

$$K_t(x, x, 1) \leq n^n \bar{C}_S^n.$$

Thus, by (3.16) and (3.17), there is a constant $\bar{C} > 0$ independent of t such that

$$G_t(x, y) = \int_1^\infty K_t(x, y, s) ds \geq -n^n \bar{C}_S^n \int_1^\infty e^{-\lambda_{1,t}(s-1)} ds = -n^n \bar{C}_S^n \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,t}} \geq -\bar{C}.$$

If $\tilde{G}_t(x, y)$ is the normalized Green function such that $\inf_{M_t} \tilde{G}_t(x, y) = 0$, then

$$\int_{M_t} \tilde{G}_t(x, y) \omega_t^n \leq C,$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of t . Note that $n + \Delta_t \varphi_t \geq 0$ where Δ_t is the Laplacian of (M_t, ω_t) . By Green's formula, we obtain

$$\varphi_t(x) - \int_{M_t} \varphi_t \omega_t^n = -\frac{1}{n!} \int_{M_t} \tilde{G}_t(x, y) \Delta_t \varphi_t \omega_t^n \leq nC.$$

By letting $\varphi_t(x) = \sup_{M_t} \varphi_t = 0$, we obtain the conclusion. $\square$

§3.3 Estimates concerning the condition (1.1). Recall that $d\mu = d\mu_{\mathcal{M}} = (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ and $d\mu_t = d\mu_{M_t} = (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega_t \wedge \bar{\Omega}_t$.

Lemma 3.4 For any $c \geq 0$ and holomorphic function f on $\mathcal{M}$,

$$b(t) := \int_{M_t} |f|^{-2c} d\mu_t$$

is lower semi-continuous on Δ . In particular, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{V}_t := \int_{M_t} d\mu_t \geq C \text{ for } t \in \Delta.$$

Proof: For any $t_0 \in \Delta$, first assume that $f|_{M_{t_0}}$ is not identically zero. Then there exist compact subsets $K_1 \subset \dots \subset K_i \subset \dots \subset M_{t_0}$ such that the integrant of $b(t_0)$ is finite and continuous on $K_i \cap M_{t_0}$ and

$$b(t_0) = \sup_i \int_{K_i \cap M_{t_0}} |f|^{-2c} d\mu_{t_0}.$$

The integrant of $b(t)$ is continuous on an open neighborhood of $K_i \cap M_{t_0} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Hence for fixed i ,

$$\int_{K_i \cap M_{t_0}} |f|^{-2c} d\mu_{t_0} = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \int_{K_i \cap M_t} |f|^{-2c} d\mu_t \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow t_0} b(t).$$

Then

$$b(t_0) = \sup_i \int_{K_i \cap M_{t_0}} |f|^{-2c} d\mu_{t_0} \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow t_0} b(t).$$

Namely, $b(t)$ is lower semi-continuous on Δ . Since $b(t) > 0$ for any t , there exists $C > 0$ such that $b(t) > C$ for $t \in \Delta$. In particular, for $c = 0$, $\mathcal{V}_t > C$ for $t \in \Delta$.

For the case $c > 0$ and $f|_{M_{t_0}} \equiv 0$, $b(t_0) = +\infty$. By $\mathcal{V}_t > C > 0$, it is easy to see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} b(t) = +\infty$. $\square$

Assume that $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a closed analytic subvariety of $B_R \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ for sufficiently large $R > 0$. (M would be a local neighborhood of our $\mathcal{M}$. In this subsection, M is considered a metric subspace of $\mathbb{C}^m$ with the standard metric. The proof of Proposition 2.2 implies that such metrics on M would be mutually quasi-isometric for different embeddings.) For any closed subset $D \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, define $\|f\|_D := \sup_{z \in D} |f(z)|$. A conic family of holomorphic functions on D is called *projectively compact* (resp. *pre-compact*), if (resp. the closure of) any closed subset of the family, bounded under $\|\cdot\|_D$, is compact.

Let M be a normal analytic variety, then it is locally irreducible. There is a canonical stratification $M = \bigcup_{i=0}^n M^{(i)}$, $M^{(i)}$ is a i -dimensional open manifold.

$\text{Sing}(M) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} M^{(i)}$ is the singular part of M , $M^{(n)}$ is the smooth part of M , and $M = M^{(n)} \cup \text{Sing}(M)$. We say M is *locally homogeneous*, if for any $p \in M^{(i)}$, there is an open neighborhood U of p in M and an isomorphism

$U \cong (U \cap M^{(i)}) \times U_i$, where $U_i \subset \mathbb{C}^{m_i}$ is a homogeneous subvariety. For example, a homogeneous subvariety in $\mathbb{C}^m$ with isolated singularity at the origin is a locally homogeneous variety.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a homogeneous subvariety, and P be a projectively pre-compact family of holomorphic function on $B_1 \cap M$, then $\tilde{P}$ consists of $\tilde{f}(z) = f(rz)$ for $f \in P$ and $0 \leq r \leq 1$, is a projectively pre-compact family of holomorphic function on $B_1 \cap M$.*

Proof: Assume $(r_k, f_k) \rightarrow (r_0, f_0)$, and $l_0 \geq 0$ is the smallest integer such that the degree l_0 term $f_0^{[l_0]} \neq 0$. Let $\tilde{f}_k(z) = c_k f_k(r_k z)$ so that $\|\tilde{f}_k\|_{M \cap B_1} = 1$. $\{\tilde{f}_k\}$ is clearly projectively pre-compact when $r_0 \neq 0$. When $r_0 = 0$, one has $\tilde{f}_k^{[>l_0]} \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, $\{\tilde{f}_k^{[\leq l_0]}\}$ being a subset of a finite dimensional vector space is clearly projectively pre-compact. $\square$

Lemma 3.6 *Let P be a projectively pre-compact family of holomorphic function on $B_1 \cap M$, which is irreducible. Then for any $D \subset M \cap B_1$ with non-empty interior, P_D consisting of $f|_D$ for $f \in P$ is a projectively pre-compact family of holomorphic functions on D .*

Proof: For any $\{f_k\} \subset P$, by taking subsequence and scaling, we may assume $f_k \rightarrow f_0 \neq 0$. Then $f_k|_D \rightarrow f_0|_D$. Since M is irreducible and D has nonempty interior, we have $f_0|_D \neq 0$. Hence P_D is projectively pre-compact. $\square$

Lemma 3.7 *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a homogeneous subvariety, $(B'_a, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{m'}, 0)$ be a ball, and P be a projectively pre-compact family of holomorphic function on $B'_a \times (B_1 \cap M) \subset \mathbb{C}^{m'+m}$, then $\tilde{P}$ consists of $\tilde{f}(z) = f(p + rz)$ for $f \in P$, $p \in B'_a$ and $0 \leq r + |p|/a \leq 1/2$, is a projectively pre-compact family of holomorphic function on $B'_{a/2} \times (B_1 \cap M)$.*

Proof: Assume $(p_k, r_k, f_k) \rightarrow (p_0, r_0, f_0)$ with $r_k + |p_k|/a \leq 1/2$. Let $\hat{f}_k(z) = f_k(z + p_k)$. Since $\{f_k\}$ is uniformly continuous, $(0, r_k, \hat{f}_k) \rightarrow (0, r_0, \hat{f}_0)$, $\{\hat{f}_k\}$ is pre-compact on $B'_{a/2} \times (B_1 \cap M)$, and $\mathbb{C}^{m'} \times M$ is homogeneous in $\mathbb{C}^{m'+m}$, lemma 3.5 implies that $\{\tilde{f}_k\}$ is also projectively pre-compact on $B'_{a/2} \times (B_1 \cap M)$, where $\tilde{f}_k(z) = c_k f_k(p_k + r_k z) = c_k \hat{f}_k(r_k z)$ so that $\|\tilde{f}_k\|_{B'_{a/2} \times (B_1 \cap M)} = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 3.8 *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a subvariety. For $R > 1$ and a projectively pre-compact family P of holomorphic function on $M \cap D$, where $B_R \subset D$ and $M \cap D$ is irreducible, there exists $C_1 > 0$, such that for any $f \in P$ satisfying $f \neq 0$ on $M \cap B_1$, $|f(0)| = 1$, we have $\|f\|_{M \cap B_R} \leq C_1$.*

Proof: If the assertion is not true, then there exists $f_k \in P$, such that $\|f_k\|_{M \cap B_R} \rightarrow +\infty$. Consequently, $m_k = \|f_k\|_{M \cap D} \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $g_k = f_k/m_k$. Then $\|g_k\|_{M \cap D} = 1$. Since P is a projectively pre-compact family, we may assume $g_k \rightarrow g_0$, then $g_0(0) = 0$, $g_0 \not\equiv 0$. Since $M \cap D$ is irreducible, $g_0 \not\equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of $0 \in M$. Take a smooth curve Y in M passing through 0 such that $g_0|_Y$ is not identically zero near 0 . Since $g_0(0) = 0$, by residue theorem, $B_1 \cap Y \cap g_k^{-1}(0)$ is non-empty for k large enough, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 3.2 *Assume that $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a homogeneous subvariety that is locally homogeneous. Let f be holomorphic function on M such that $f|_{\text{Sing}(M)} \equiv 0$. Then for $R > 1$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $p \in M$, $\|f\|_{M \cap B_{Rr_p}(p)} \leq C|f(p)|$, where $r_p = \text{Dist}(p, M_0)$, $M_0 = f^{-1}(0)$.*

Proof: If the corollary is not true, then there exists $p_k \in M$ such that $\|f\|_{B_{Rr_{p_k}}(p_k)} \geq k|f(p_k)|$. For induction purpose, let $f_k = f$, then $r_{p_k} = \text{Dist}(p_k, M \cap f_k^{-1}(0))$. Clearly, $\{f_k\}$ is projectively pre-compact on M .

By possibly taking subsequence, we may assume that $p_k \rightarrow p_0$ such that $p_0 \in M^{(j)}$. Take the local homogenous neighborhood $U \cong (U \cap M^{(j)}) \times U_j$ of $p_0 \in M^{(j)}$ with the embedding $(x, y) : U \rightarrow (U \cap M^{(j)}) \times \mathbb{C}^m$ with coordinates x on $U \cap M^{(j)}$ and y on $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that $x(p_0) = 0$. One may assume $B'_a \times (B_1 \cap U_j) \subset U$. By lemma 3.6, $\{f_k\}$ is projectively pre-compact on $B'_a \times (B_1 \cap U_j)$. $|y(p_k)| + |x(p_k)|/a \rightarrow 0$, hence $\leq 1/2$ for k large. Let $\tilde{f}_k(x, y) = c_k f_k(x(p_k) + |y(p_k)|x, |y(p_k)|y)$ so that $|\tilde{f}_k(\tilde{p}_k)| = 1$, where $(x, y)(\tilde{p}_k) = (0, y(p_k)/|y(p_k)|)$, which implies that $\text{Dist}(\tilde{p}_k, M^{(j)}) = 1$. By lemma 3.7, $\{\tilde{f}_k\}$ is a projectively pre-compact family on $B'_{a/2} \times (B_1 \cap U_j)$. $f|_{\text{Sing}(M)} \equiv 0$ implies that $r_{p_k} \leq \text{Dist}(p_k, M^{(j)}) \rightarrow 0$. Hence for k large, $B_{Rr_{p_k}}(p_k) \subset D := B'_{a/2} \times B_1$. Without lost of generality, we may assume $\tilde{p}_k \rightarrow \tilde{p}_0 \in M^{(j')}$ for $j' > j$. We now replace (f_k, p_k, j) with $(\tilde{f}_k, \tilde{p}_k, j')$. We still have $\|f_k\|_{B_{Rr_{p_k}}(p_k)} \geq k|f_k(p_k)|$.

This process can be repeated. Since $j' > j$, the process has to stop when $j' = n$. Then we have $p_k \rightarrow p_0 \in M^{(n)}$, $|f_k(p_k)| = 1$, $\text{Dist}(p_k, M^{(j)}) = 1$, $\{f_k\}$ is a projectively pre-compact family on $B'_{a/2} \times (B_1 \cap U_j) = M \cap D$ and $B_{Rr_{p_k}}(p_k) \subset D$ for k large. By lemma 3.7, $\{\tilde{f}_k(z) = f_k(p_k + r_{p_k}z)\}$ is a projectively pre-compact family on $M \cap B_R$. Apply lemma 3.8, to the family $\{\tilde{f}_k(z)\}$, we have $\|f_k\|_{B_{Rr_{p_k}}(p_k)} \leq C_1|f_k(p_k)|$ for certain $C_1 > 0$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

For $\rho \geq 0$, let $M_{\Delta_\rho} = f^{-1}(\Delta_\rho)$, where $\Delta_\rho = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| \leq \rho\}$.

Lemma 3.9 *There exists constants $N, C > 0$ such that for any $\rho > 0$, one can find a locally finite cover $\{B_{2r_i}(p_i)\}_{i \in I}$ of $M_{\Delta_\rho} \setminus M_0$ with the property that for any $p \in M_{\Delta_\rho}$, the number of i such that $p \in M_{\Delta_\rho} \cap B_{2r_i}(p_i)$ is less than N . Furthermore, if $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a homogeneous subvariety that is locally homogeneous, we have $\sup_{B_{2r_i}(p_i)} |f| \leq C\rho$ for all i .*

Proof: For $p \in M_{\Delta_\rho}$, let $r_p := d(p, M_0)$. Find $p_1 \in M_{\Delta_\rho}$ such that $r_{p_1} = \max_{p \in M_{\Delta_\rho}} r_p$. By induction, we can find $p_i \in M_{\Delta_\rho}$ such that

$$r_{p_i} = \max_{p \in M_{\rho, i}} r_p, \quad \text{where } M_{\rho, i} = M_{\Delta_\rho} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} B_{2r_{p_j}}(p_j).$$

For any $p \in M_{\Delta_\rho}$, let I_p denote the set of k such that $p \in B_{2r_{p_k}}(p_k)$. For any $i, j \in I_p$, assume $j < i$, then $p_i \notin B_{2r_{p_j}}(p_j)$, $d(p_i, p_j) \geq 2r_j \geq \max(d(p, p_i), d(p, p_j))$. Hence $\angle p_i p p_j \geq \pi/3$. This implies that $|I_p| \leq N(n)$.

If there is $p' \in M_{\Delta_\rho} \setminus M_0$ such that $p' \notin B_{2r_{p_i}}(p_i)$ for all i , then by our construction, $\{p_i\}$ is an infinite set and $r_{p_i} \geq r_{p'} = d(p', M_0) > 0$ for all i . Notice that $\{B_{r_{p_i}}(p_i)\}$ are disjoint. These last 3 statements form a contradiction. Hence $\{B_{2r_{p_i}}(p_i)\}$ covers M_t for $0 < |t| < \rho$.

If $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a homogeneous subvariety that is locally homogeneous, by corollary 3.2, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\sup_{B_{2r_i}(p_i)} |f| \leq C\rho$ for all i . $\square$

Theorem 3.2 Assume that $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a homogeneous subvariety that is locally homogeneous, and M_0 is irreducible with only canonical singularities, $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and is not identically zero on M_0 . Then for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\rho \geq 0$,

$$\int_{M_{\Delta_\rho}} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} \leq C\rho^2, \quad \text{where } M_{\Delta_\rho} = \pi^{-1}(\Delta_\rho).$$

Proof: By Proposition 2.1, we only need to prove that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{M_{\Delta_\rho}} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} \leq C\rho^2 \int_{M_0} \frac{d\mu_0}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}}.$$

If it is not true, then there exist ρ_k such that

$$\int_{M_{\Delta_{\rho_k}}} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} \geq k\rho_k^2 \int_{M_0} \frac{d\mu_0}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}}.$$

By lemma 3.9, one may find locally finite cover $\{B_{2r_{k,i}}(p_{k,i})\}_{i \in I_k}$ of $M_{\Delta_{\rho_k}} \setminus M_0$ with $r_{k,i} = \text{Dist}(p_{k,i}, M_0)$, and constants $N, C > 0$ (independent of k) such that for any $p \in M_0$, the number of i such that $p \in M_0 \cap B_{2r_{k,i}}(p_{k,i})$ is less than N , and $\sup_{B_{2r_{k,i}}(p_{k,i})} |f| \leq C\rho_k$. Then there exists $i_k \in I_k$ (with $r_k := r_{k,i_k}$ and

$p_k := p_{k,i_k}$) such that

$$\int_{M_{\Delta_{\rho_k}} \cap B_{2r_k}(p_k)} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} \geq \frac{k\rho_k^2}{N} \int_{M_0 \cap B_{2r_k}(p_k)} \frac{d\mu_0}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}}.$$

Normalize $B_{2r_k}(p_k)$ to $B_2(0)$, (f, ψ, ρ_k) is accordingly normalized to $(f_k, \psi_k, \tilde{\rho}_k)$ so that $\sup_{B_2(0)} |f_k| = \sup_{B_2(0)} |\psi_k| = 1$, and $\tilde{\rho}_k \geq 1/C$. Let $X_t^k = f_k^{-1}(t)$ and $X_{\Delta_\rho}^k =$

$f_k^{-1}(\Delta_\rho)$. By our construction, $X_0^k \cap B_1(0) \neq \emptyset$, hence $\text{Vol}(X_0^k \cap B_2(0)) \geq C > 0$. (For simplicity, we still use Ω_t and Ω to denote the corresponding normalized Calabi-Yau forms.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_2(0)} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi_k|^{2\epsilon}} &\geq \int_{X_{\Delta_{\tilde{\rho}_k}}^k \cap B_2(0)} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi_k|^{2\epsilon}} \geq \frac{k}{NC^2} \int_{X_0^k \cap B_2(0)} \frac{d\mu_0}{|\psi_k|^{2\epsilon}} \\ &\geq \frac{k}{NC^2} \int_{X_0^k \cap B_2(0)} \frac{1}{|df_k|^2 |\psi_k|^{2\epsilon}} \geq Ck \text{Vol}(X_0^k \cap B_2(0)) \geq Ck. \end{aligned}$$

Since f_k and ψ_k are polynomials with bounded degree. By taking subsequence, we may assume $(f_k, \psi_k, \tilde{\rho}_k) \rightarrow (f_0, \psi_0, \tilde{\rho}_0)$. (Notice that $\tilde{\rho}_0 \neq 0$.) Then

$$\int_{B_2(0)} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi_0|^{2\epsilon}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_2(0)} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi_k|^{2\epsilon}} = +\infty.$$

This is a contradiction. $\square$

Consider $\mathbb{C}^m$ with the weighted $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action $\rho_t(z) = (t^{w_1} z_1, \dots, t^{w_m} z_m)$ with the weight vector $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$. (For convenience, we would use $\mathbb{C}_w^m$ to denote $\mathbb{C}^m$ with the weighted action, and $\mathbb{C}^m$ to refer to the usual action, where all $w_i = 1$.) There is a natural w -homogeneous branched covering $\phi_w : \mathbb{C}_w^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ of weight $[w]$ (the smallest common multiple of all w_i) defined as $\phi_{w,i}(z) = z_i^{[w]/w_i}$. For $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ that is w -homogeneous, $\phi_w(M) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is homogeneous. In the rest of this section, we mainly concern $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ that is w -homogeneous. (Without lost of generality, we may assume that $\rho_t M \subset M$ for $|t| \leq 1$.) When M is normal, a w -homogeneous holomorphic function on smooth part of M can be extended to a w -homogeneous holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^m$, hence defines a w -homogeneous holomorphic function on M .

Proposition 3.3 *Assume that $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is w -homogeneous, f and ψ are w -homogeneous holomorphic functions on M of weight w_f and w_ψ , and for any $0 \leq r \leq 1$,*

$$\int_{M_{\Delta(r)}} \frac{d\mu}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} = \int_{\Delta(r)} dt d\bar{t} \int_{M_t} \frac{d\mu_t}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} \leq Cr^2.$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $|t| \leq 1$,

$$I_t = \int_{M_t} \frac{d\mu_t}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} \leq C.$$

Proof: For $|t| \leq 1$, $\rho_{t/r}(M_r) \subset M_t$.

$$I_t = \int_{M_t} \frac{d\mu_t}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} \geq \int_{\rho_{t/r}(M_r)} \frac{d\mu_t}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} = \left(\frac{|t|}{r}\right)^a \int_{M_r} \frac{d\mu_r}{|\psi|^{2\epsilon}} = \left(\frac{|t|}{r}\right)^a I_r,$$

where $a = 2(w_0 + \cdots + w_m - w_f - \epsilon w_\psi)$. Then

$$Cr^2 \geq \int_{\Delta(r)} I_t d\mu_{\mathbb{C}} \geq I_r \int_{\Delta(r)} \left(\frac{|t|}{r}\right)^a d\mu_{\mathbb{C}} = \frac{2\pi}{2+a} r^2 I_r, \quad (a > -2).$$

Hence $I_r \leq (2+a)C/2\pi$. $\square$

Proposition 3.4 *Assume $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and f a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^m$ that is not identically zero on each connected component of M , then there exists $R > 0$ and a w -homogeneous map $\mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ (which can be made a linear projection in the homogeneous case) such that for any t , the induced map $M_t \cap B_R(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a branched covering.*

Proof: There is a natural equivariant branched covering $\phi_w : \mathbb{C}_w^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$. $\phi_w(M)$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{C}^m$. By Noether normalization theorem, there exists a linear projection $\mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ that induces a branched covering $\phi_w(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. The composition $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is also a branched covering.

Assume f satisfies $p(f) := f^l + a_{l-1}f^{l-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(M_t)$ is contained in the divisor $D_{p(t)}$ (in particular, $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(M_0)$ is contained in the divisor D_{a_0}). By Weierstrass preparation theorem, there exists a projection $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ that restricts to branched coverings $\{p(t) = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. The composition gives the desired w -homogeneous map $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3 *Assume $M \subset \mathbb{C}_w^m$ is a quasi-homogeneous normal variety with weight w , f a holomorphic function on M , then there exists $R > 0$ and a linear projection $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that for any t , the induced map $M_t \cap B_R(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a branched covering.*

Proof: Under the condition, f can be extended to a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^m$. Then we are in the situation of proposition 3.4. $\square$

Corollary 3.4 *Assume $M \subset \mathbb{CP}_w^N \times \Delta$ is a closed subvariety. There exists a smaller disk $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ and a map $\mathbb{CP}_w^N \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ such that for any $t \in \Delta'$, the induced map $M_t \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ is a branched covering.*

Proof: Consider $\tilde{M} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \times \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$ that projectivizes to $M \subset \mathbb{CP}_w^N \times \Delta$. Use the branched covering $\tilde{\phi}_w = \phi_w \times \text{id}_\Delta : \mathbb{C}_w^{N+1} \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \times \Delta$, $\tilde{\phi}_w(\tilde{M}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \times \Delta$ is a closed subscheme that is homogeneous on $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ -direction. Apply proposition 3.4, there exists $R > 0$ and a linear projection $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that for any t , the induced map $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{M}_t) \cap B_R(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is a branched covering. Notice that $\tilde{M}_t \cap B_R(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is w -homogeneous, hence can be homogeneously extended to a branch covering $\tilde{M}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ if $(0, t) \in \tilde{M}_t \cap B_R(0)$. For $t \in \Delta' := \{t \in \Delta : |t| < R\}$, $(0, t) \in \tilde{M}_t \cap B_R(0)$, which implies that $M_t \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}_w^n$ is a branched covering. $\square$

The following lemma indicates that being quasi-homogeneous is not as restrictive as it seems.

Lemma 3.10 *Consider $(M, 0)$ with a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action fixing 0 and $f = hg$, where h is a nowhere zero holomorphic function and g is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant function with degree d . There exists a map $F : M \rightarrow M$ that is biholomorphic near 0, and $f \circ F = g$.*

Proof: $f(z) = h(z)g(z) = g(h^{1/d}(z)z)$. $F^{-1}(z) = h^{1/d}(z)z : M \rightarrow M$ is biholomorphic near $z = 0$. Hence $f \circ F = g$. $\square$

4 Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of Calabi-Yau manifolds

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1. First, we prove the following general result.

Theorem 4.1 *Let (M_k, g_k) be a family of Riemannian n -manifolds, and (N, d_N) be a compact path metric space. Assume that*

(i) *There are two constants $C > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ independent of k such that*

$$\text{Ric}(g_k) \geq -Cg_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(p, r)) \geq \kappa r^n,$$

for any metric ball $B_{g_k}(p, r)$.

(ii)

$$0 < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}_{g_k}(M_k) = \mathcal{H}^n(N) < \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{H}^n(N)$ is the n -Hausdorff measure of (N, d_N) .

(iii) *There is a dense open subset $N_0 \subset N$ such that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} N \setminus N_0 \leq n - 2$, and N_0 is a smooth manifold. There is a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -Riemannian metric g on N_0 such that, for any x and $y \in N_0$, there is a minimal geodesic γ in N_0 connecting x and y satisfying $d_N(x, y) = \text{length}_g(\gamma)$.*

(iv) *There are smooth embeddings $F_k : N_0 \rightarrow M_k$ such that, for any compact subset $K \subset N_0$, $F_k^* g_k$ $C^{1,\alpha}$ -converges to g on K .*

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}((M_k, g_k), (N, d_N)) = 0,$$

where d_{GH} denotes the Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

Note that the assumptions (i) and (ii) imply that the diameters of (M_k, g_k) are uniformly bounded from above. By Gromov's precompactness theorem (c.f. [28]), a subsequence of $\{(M_k, g_k)\}$ converges to a compact length metric space (Y, d_Y) in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Since F_k are diffeomorphisms from N_0 to their images $F_k(N_0)$, we do not distinguish between N_0 and $F_k(N_0)$ in this section.

Lemma 4.1 *There exists an embedding $f : N_0 \rightarrow Y$ which is a locally isometry, i.e. for any compact subset $K \subset\subset N_0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $p_1, p_2 \in K$ with $d_N(p_1, p_2) < \delta$, we have $d_N(p_1, p_2) = d_Y(f(p_1), f(p_2))$.*

Proof: For any $i > 0$, let $W_i = \{x \in N_0 \mid d_N(x, N \setminus N_0) \geq \frac{1}{i}\}$. Since, when $k \rightarrow \infty$, g_k converges to g in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -sense on a fixed W_i , by passing to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$(4.1) \quad \|g_k - g\|_{C^1(g)} \leq \frac{1}{k},$$

on W_i .

Since $\{(M_k, g_k)\}$ converges to (Y, d_Y) in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, by passing to a subsequence, we assume that $d_{GH}((M_k, g_k), (Y, d_Y)) < \frac{1}{2k}$. There are $\frac{1}{k}$ -Hausdorff approximations $\psi_k : M_k \rightarrow Y$ for each k , i.e. $Y \subset \{y \mid d_Y(y, \psi_k(M_k)) < \frac{1}{k}\}$ and

$$(4.2) \quad |d_{M_k}(q_1, q_2) - d_Y(\psi_k(q_1), \psi_k(q_2))| < \frac{1}{k},$$

for any $q_1, q_2 \in M_k$, where d_{M_k} is the distance function induced by g_k .

Let A be a countable dense subset of N . Then, for any i , $A \cap W_i$ is a countable dense subset of W_i . Now, we define a map f_i from $A \cap W_i = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ to Y . For a_1 , a subsequence $\{\psi_{k_1}(a_1)\}$ of $\{\psi_k(a_1)\}$ converges to a point $b_1 \in Y$ since Y is compact. Let $f_i(a_1) = b_1$. For a_2 and $(A \cap W_i, d_{M_{k_1}})$, by repeating the above procedure, we obtain that a subsequence $\{\psi_{k_2}(a_j)\}$, $j = 1, 2$, converges to $b_j \in Y$, $j = 1, 2$, respectively. Define $f_i(a_2) = b_2$. By repeating this procedure and the standard diagonal argument, we can find a subsequence of (M_k, g_k) , denoted by (M_k, g_k) also, such that $d_{GH}((M_k, g_k), (Y, d_Y)) < \frac{1}{2k}$, and $\psi_k(a_j)$ converges to $b_j \in Y$, i.e. $d_Y(\psi_k(a_j), b_j) \rightarrow 0$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$. For any $a_j \in A \cap W_i$, define $f_i(a_j) = b_j$.

Now, we prove that $f_i : W_{i-1} \cap A \rightarrow Y$ is injective. If it is not true, there are $x, y \in W_{i-1} \cap A$ such that $f_i(x) = f_i(y)$. By (4.2), and passing to a subsequence,

$$\text{length}_{g_k}(\gamma_k) = d_{M_k}(x, y) < \frac{1}{k} + d_Y(\psi_k(x), \psi_k(y)) < \frac{3}{k},$$

where, for any k , γ_k is the minimal geodesic connecting x and y in (M_k, g_k) . By (4.1), we have

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{k}} \text{length}_g(\gamma_k \cap W_{i-1}) \leq \text{length}_{g_k}(\gamma_k \cap W_{i-1}) \leq \text{length}_{g_k}(\gamma_k) < \frac{3}{k}.$$

If there is a subsequence of k such that $\gamma_k \cap (M_k \setminus W_{i-1})$ are not empty,

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{k}}(d_N(x, \partial W_{i-1}) + d_N(y, \partial W_{i-1})) \leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{k}} \text{length}_g(\gamma_k \cap W_{i-1}) < \frac{3}{k}.$$

By taking $k \gg 1$, it is a contradiction. Thus $\gamma_k \subset W_{i-1}$ for $k \gg 1$, and,

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{k}}d_N(x, y) \leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{k}} \text{length}_g(\gamma_k) < \frac{3}{k},$$

which is also a contradiction. Hence $f_i : W_{i-1} \cap A \rightarrow Y$ is injective.

Note that there is a $r_i > 0$ such that, for any $q \in W_i$, the metric ball $B_g(q, r_i)$ is a geodesic convex set ([43]). By taking $r_i < \frac{1}{i(i-1)}$, for any $q_1, q_2 \in W_{i-1}$ with $d_N(q_1, q_2) \leq r_i$, there is a unique minimal geodesic $\gamma_s \subset W_i$ connecting q_1 and q_2 such that $d_N(q_1, q_2) = \text{length}_g(\gamma_s)$. Thus, by (4.1), we obtain that

$$d_{M_k}(q_1, q_2) \leq \text{length}_{g_k}(\gamma_s) \leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{k}} \text{length}_g(\gamma_s) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{k}} d_N(q_1, q_2).$$

By reversing the roles of g and g_k , and the same argument as above, we have

$$d_N(q_1, q_2) \leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{k}} d_{M_k}(q_1, q_2).$$

Note that, for any $a_1, a_2 \in A \cap W_{i-1}$ with $d_N(a_1, a_2) \leq r_i$,

$$d_Y(b_1, b_2) \leq d_Y(b_1, \psi_k(a_1)) + d_Y(\psi_k(a_1), \psi_k(a_2)) + d_Y(\psi_k(a_2), b_2), \quad \text{and}$$

$$d_Y(b_1, b_2) \geq d_Y(\psi_k(a_1), \psi_k(a_2)) - d_Y(b_1, \psi_k(a_1)) - d_Y(\psi_k(a_2), b_2).$$

Thus, by (4.2),

$$d_Y(b_1, b_2) \leq d_Y(b_1, \psi_k(a_1)) + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{k}} d_N(a_1, a_2) + d_Y(\psi_k(a_2), b_2) + \frac{1}{k}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$d_Y(b_1, b_2) \geq (1 + \frac{1}{k})^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_N(a_1, a_2) - d_Y(b_1, \psi_k(a_1)) - d_Y(\psi_k(a_2), b_2) - \frac{1}{k}.$$

By letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$d_Y(b_1, b_2) = d_N(a_1, a_2).$$

Hence we can extend f_i uniquely to a continuous map $f_i : W_{i-1} \rightarrow Y$, which is injective, and satisfies that

$$d_Y(f_i(q_1), f_i(q_2)) = d_N(q_1, q_2),$$

for any $q_1, q_2 \in W_{i-1}$ with $d_N(q_1, q_2) \leq r_i$.

By the same arguments as above, we can find a $r_{i+1} > 0$, and a continuous map $f_{i+1} : W_i \rightarrow Y$, which is injective, satisfies that

$$d_Y(f_{i+1}(q_1), f_{i+1}(q_2)) = d_N(q_1, q_2),$$

for any $q_1, q_2 \in W_i$ with $d_N(q_1, q_2) \leq r_{i+1}$. Furthermore, from the construction, we can assume that $f_{i+1}|_{W_{i-1}} = f_i$. Thus we get a family of maps $f_{i+1} : W_i \rightarrow Y$. Define $f : N_0 \rightarrow Y$ by $f(q) = f_i(q)$ if $q \in W_{i-1}$. We obtain the conclusion. $\square$

This lemma implies that

$$(4.3) \quad \text{length}_g(\gamma) = \text{length}_{d_Y}(f(\gamma)),$$

if γ is a smooth curve in N_0 .

Lemma 4.2 *There is a continuous surjective map $\tilde{f} : N \rightarrow Y$ such that $\tilde{f}|_{N_0} = f$.*

Proof: Note that N_0 is dense in N . Let $x \in N$, and $\{x_j\} \subset N_0$ be a sequence of points converging to x . For any $x_j, x_{j+l} \in \{x_j\}$, there is a minimal geodesic $\gamma_{j,j+l} \subset N_0$ connecting x_j and x_{j+l} with $\text{length}_g(\gamma_{j,j+l}) = d_N(x_j, x_{j+l})$ from the assumption. By (4.3),

$$d_Y(f(x_j), f(x_{j+l})) \leq \text{length}_{d_Y}(f(\gamma_{j,j+l})) = \text{length}_g(\gamma_{j,j+l}) = d_N(x_j, x_{j+l}).$$

Hence $\{f(x_j)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and we denote the limit as y . If $\{x'_j\} \subset N_0$ is another sequence of points converging to x , and γ_j are minimal geodesics connecting x_j and x'_j in N_0 , then

$$d_Y(f(x_j), f(x'_j)) \leq \text{length}_{d_Y}(f(\gamma_j)) = \text{length}_g(\gamma_j) = d_N(x_j, x'_j) \rightarrow 0,$$

when $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\{f(x'_j)\}$ converges to y too. Define $\tilde{f}(x) = y$, and, clearly, $\tilde{f}$ is a continuous map from N to Y from the construction.

We claim that $\tilde{f}(N)$ is closed in Y . Let $\{y_j\} \subset \tilde{f}(N)$ be a sequence of points converging to y in Y . From the construction above, for any j , there is a sequence of points $\{x_{j,i}\} \subset N_0$ such that $d_Y(y_j, f(x_{j,i})) \rightarrow 0$ when $i \rightarrow \infty$. By the standard diagonal argument, we can find a sequence of points $\{x_{j,i_j}\} \subset N_0$, and a point $x \in N$ such that

$$d_N(x_{j,i_j}, x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad d_Y(y, f(x_{j,i_j})) \rightarrow 0,$$

when $j \rightarrow \infty$. By the construction of $\tilde{f}$, $y = \tilde{f}(x)$, and, thus, $\tilde{f}(N)$ is closed in Y .

Now, we prove that $\tilde{f}$ is surjective. If $\tilde{f}$ is not surjective, there is a point $y \in Y \setminus \tilde{f}(N)$, and a $\delta > 0$ such that the intersection of the metric ball $B_{d_Y}(y, \delta)$ and $\tilde{f}(N)$ is empty. Let $B_{g_k}(y_k, \delta)$ be metric δ -balls of (M_k, g_k) such that $B_{g_k}(y_k, \delta)$ converges to $B_{d_Y}(y, \delta)$ under the convergence of (M_k, g_k) to (Y, d_Y) . Now we need the volume convergence theorem dual to Colding and Cheeger:

Theorem 4.2 ([12] [13]) *Let (M_k, g_k, y_k) be a family of Riemannian n -manifolds, which converges to a compact path metric space (Y, d_Y, y) . If there are two constants $C > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ independent of k such that*

$$\text{Ric}(g_k) \geq -Cg_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(p, \delta)) \geq \kappa\delta^n,$$

for any metric ball $B_{g_k}(p, \delta)$, then

$$(4.4) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}_{g_k}(M) = \mathcal{H}^n(Y) \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(y_k, \delta)) = \mathcal{H}^n(B_{d_Y}(y, \delta)),$$

where $\mathcal{H}^n$ denotes the Hausdorff measure.

By this theorem and the assumptions, we obtain that

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{H}^n(Y) = \mathcal{H}^n(N) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^n(B_{d_Y}(y, \delta)) \geq \kappa \delta^n.$$

Since $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} N \setminus N_0 \leq n - 2$, the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $N \setminus N_0$ is zero, i.e. $\mathcal{H}^n(N \setminus N_0) = 0$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^n(N) = \text{Vol}_g(N_0).$$

From Lemma 4.1, f is a locally isometry, i.e. for any compact subset $K \subset \subset N_0$, there is a $\delta' > 0$ such that, for any $p_1, p_2 \in K$ with $d_N(p_1, p_2) < \delta'$, we have $d_N(p_1, p_2) = d_Y(f(p_1), f(p_2))$. Thus, for any $y \in f(N_0)$, the tangent cone Y_y is $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the n -Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^n$ is the Riemannian measure induced by g on $f(N_0)$. Hence

$$\text{Vol}_g(N_0) = \mathcal{H}^n(Y) \geq \mathcal{H}^n(f(N_0)) + \mathcal{H}^n(B_{d_Y}(y, \delta)) \geq \text{Vol}_g(N_0) + \kappa \delta^n > \text{Vol}_g(N_0).$$

It is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 $\tilde{f} : (N, g) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ is an isometry, i.e. for any $p_1, p_2 \in N$,

$$d_N(p_1, p_2) = d_Y(\tilde{f}(p_1), \tilde{f}(p_2)).$$

Proof: Note that $\tilde{f}$ is a uniformly continuous map, since N is compact. For any $p_1, p_2 \in N$, there are sequences of points $\{p_{j,i}\} \subset N_0$, $j = 1, 2$, such that $d_N(p_{j,i}, p_j) \rightarrow 0$ when $i \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $d_Y(f(p_{j,i}), \tilde{f}(p_j)) \rightarrow 0$, $j = 1, 2$, when $i \rightarrow \infty$. From the assumption, there is a minimal geodesic γ_i connecting $p_{1,i}$ and $p_{2,i}$ in N_0 , which satisfies that $\text{length}_g(\gamma_i) = d_N(p_{1,i}, p_{2,i})$. By (4.3),

$$d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), f(p_{2,i})) \leq \text{length}_{d_Y}(f(\gamma_i)) = \text{length}_g(\gamma_i) = d_N(p_{1,i}, p_{2,i}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(\tilde{f}(p_1), \tilde{f}(p_2)) &\leq d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), f(p_{2,i})) + d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), \tilde{f}(p_1)) + d_Y(f(p_{2,i}), \tilde{f}(p_2)) \\ &\leq d_Y(f(p_{2,i}), \tilde{f}(p_2)) + d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), \tilde{f}(p_1)) + d_N(p_{1,i}, p_{2,i}) \\ &\leq d_N(p_1, p_2) + \sum (d_Y(f(p_{j,i}), \tilde{f}(p_j)) + d_N(p_{j,i}, p_j)). \end{aligned}$$

By letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$(4.6) \quad d_Y(\tilde{f}(p_1), \tilde{f}(p_2)) \leq d_N(p_1, p_2).$$

If $S_N = N \setminus N_0$ and $S_Y = Y \setminus f(N_0)$, then $\tilde{f}(S_N) \supset S_Y$ since $\tilde{f}$ is surjective. Since $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S_N \leq n-2$, the $n-1$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of S_N is zero, i.e. $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_N) = 0$. For any $\eta > 0$, and any collection of countable coverings, $\{B_g(q_\nu, r_\nu)\}$, of S_N with $r_\nu \leq \eta$, by (4.6), $\tilde{f}(B_g(q_\nu, r_\nu)) \subset B_{d_Y}(\tilde{f}(q_\nu), r_\nu)$, and $\{B_{d_Y}(\tilde{f}(q_\nu), r_\nu)\}$ is a covering of S_Y . Thus

$$\mathcal{H}_\eta^{n-1}(S_Y) \leq \varpi_{n-1} \sum_{\nu} r_\nu^{n-1},$$

where ϖ_{n-1} is the volume of 1-ball in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We have

$$\mathcal{H}_\eta^{n-1}(S_Y) \leq \inf_{\{B_g(q_\nu, r_\nu)\}} \varpi_{n-1} \sum_{\nu} r_\nu^{n-1} = \mathcal{H}_\eta^{n-1}(S_N),$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_Y) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\eta^{n-1}(S_Y) \leq \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\eta^{n-1}(S_N) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_N) = 0.$$

Hence the $n-1$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of S_Y is zero, i.e. $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_Y) = 0$. We need the following theorem:

Theorem 4.3 (Theorem 3.7 in [14]) *Let (M_k, g_k, y_k) and (Y, d_Y, y) be the same as in Theorem 4.2, and B be a closed subset of Y with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(B) = 0$. If $x_1 \in Y \setminus B$, then, for $\mathcal{H}^n$ -almost all $x_2 \in Y \setminus B$, there is a minimal geodesic connecting x_1 and x_2 which lies in $Y \setminus B$.*

This theorem implies that, for any $x_1, x_2 \in Y \setminus S_Y$, any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $x'_2 \in Y \setminus S_Y$ such that there is a minimal geodesic connecting x_2 and x'_2 in $Y \setminus S_Y$, and $d_Y(x_2, x'_2) < \varepsilon$. Hence we can find a curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ connecting x_1 and x_2 in $Y \setminus S_Y$ such that

$$\text{length}_{d_Y}(\tilde{\gamma}) \leq d_Y(x_2, x'_2) + d_Y(x_1, x_2) \leq \varepsilon + d_Y(x_1, x_2).$$

If there is an i such that $d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), f(p_{2,i})) < \text{length}_{d_Y}(f(\gamma_i))$, there is a curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ connecting $f(p_{1,i}), f(p_{2,i})$ such that $\tilde{\gamma} \subset f(N_0)$, and

$$\text{length}_{d_Y}(\tilde{\gamma}) \leq d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), f(p_{2,i})) + \frac{1}{2}\varrho < \text{length}_{d_Y}(f(\gamma_i)),$$

where $\varrho = \text{length}_{d_Y}(f(\gamma_i)) - d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), f(p_{2,i}))$. It contradicts to that $f(\gamma_i)$ is the minimal geodesic in $(f(N_0), d_Y)$. Thus, for any i ,

$$d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), f(p_{2,i})) = \text{length}_{d_Y}(f(\gamma_i)) = d_N(p_{1,i}, p_{2,i}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(\tilde{f}(p_1), \tilde{f}(p_2)) &\geq d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), f(p_{2,i})) - d_Y(f(p_{2,i}), \tilde{f}(p_2)) - d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), \tilde{f}(p_1)) \\ &\geq d_N(p_{1,i}, p_{2,i}) - d_Y(f(p_{2,i}), \tilde{f}(p_2)) - d_Y(f(p_{1,i}), \tilde{f}(p_1)) \\ &\geq d_N(p_1, p_2) - \sum (d_Y(f(p_{j,i}), \tilde{f}(p_j)) + d_N(p_{j,i}, p_j)). \end{aligned}$$

By letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$d_Y(\tilde{f}(p_1), \tilde{f}(p_2)) \geq d_N(p_1, p_2),$$

and, thus,

$$d_Y(\tilde{f}(p_1), \tilde{f}(p_2)) = d_N(p_1, p_2).$$

We obtain that $\tilde{f}$ is injective, and is an isometry. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1: If it is not true, there is a subsequence of (M_k, g_k) , denoted by (M_k, g_k) also, such that $d_{GH}((M_k, g_k), (N, d_N)) > C$, for a constant $C > 0$. By Gromov's precompactness theorem (c.f. [28]), a subsequence of $\{(M_k, g_k)\}$ converges to a compact length metric space (Y, d_Y) in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, which satisfies $d_{GH}((Y, d_Y), (N, d_N)) > C$. It contradicts to Lemma 4.3. $\square$

Now we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let N be a Calabi-Yau n -variety, which admits a crepant resolution (M, π) , $\alpha \in H^1(N, \mathcal{PH}_N)$ be a class represented by a smooth Kähler form on N , and g be the unique singular Ricci-flat Kähler metric with Kähler form $\omega \in \alpha$. Assume that the path metric structure of $(N \setminus S, g)$ extends to a path metric structure d_N on N such that the Hausdorff dimension of S satisfies $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S \leq 2n - 4$, and $N \setminus S$ is geodesic convex in (N, d_N) , where S is the singular set of N , i.e. for any $x, y \in N \setminus S$, there is a minimal geodesic $\gamma \subset N \setminus S$ connecting x and y satisfying $\text{length}_g(\gamma) = d_N(x, y)$. Let g_k be a family of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on M with Kähler forms ω_k such that $[\omega_k] \rightarrow \pi^* \alpha$ in $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}_{g_k}(M) = \text{Vol}_g(N \setminus S),$$

$$\text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_M \omega_k \wedge \omega_1^{n-1} = \langle \pi^*[\omega] \wedge [\omega_1]^{n-1}, [M] \rangle.$$

By Theorem 3.1 and Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem, we obtain that

$$\text{diam}_{g_k}(M) \leq C_1,$$

and, for any metric ball $B_{g_k}(r)$,

$$(4.8) \quad \text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(r)) \geq \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_k}(M)}{\text{diam}_{g_k}^{2n}(M)} r^{2n} \geq C_2 r^{2n},$$

where C_1 and C_2 are two constants independent of k . Since $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S \leq 2n - 4$, $\text{Vol}_g(N \setminus S) = \mathcal{H}^{2n}(N)$. By Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 1.1 in [55]), $\{g_k\}$ converges to π^*g on any compact subset $K \subset \subset \pi^{-1}(N \setminus S)$ in the C^∞ sense. Thus the conclusion is a directly consequence of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.1: Let N be a compact Calabi-Yau n -orbifold with $H^2(N, \mathcal{O}_N) = \{0\}$, g be a Ricci-flat Kähler metric on N , ω be the Kähler form of g . Assume that N admits a crepant resolution (M, π) . By Lemma 2.1, there is a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form ω_0 in the sense of orbifold forms, which is a smooth Kähler form in the sense of Section 5.2 in [22]. By the uniqueness part of Theorem 7.5 of [22], g is the unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric on N with Kähler form $\omega = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0$ for a continuous function φ_0 on N . Note that (N, g) is a compact metric space, the smooth part N_0 of N is geodesic convex in N (c.f. [8]), and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} N \setminus N_0 \leq 2n-4$ since $N \setminus N_0$ is a subvariety of N . Hence we obtain Corollary 1.1 from Theorem 1.1. $\square$

5 Convergence of Calabi-Yau manifolds under smoothing

Let M_0 be a projective Calabi-Yau n -variety, and S be the set of singular points of M_0 . Assume that M_0 admits a smoothing $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$ in $\mathbb{CP}^N$ over the unit disc $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$. (See section 1 for precise definition.) Recall that we assumed further that the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Let Ω denote the corresponding trivializing section of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$. By the adjunction formula (c.f. [25]), we have $\mathcal{K}_{M_t} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes [M_t]|_{M_t} \cong \mathcal{O}_{M_t}$. The corresponding trivializing section can be expressed locally as $\Omega_t = (\iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \Omega)|_{M_t}$. In the following, by a local embedding $i : (\mathcal{M}, x_0) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n'}, 0)$, we mean an isomorphism of an open neighborhood of x_0 in $\mathcal{M}$ with a closed analytic subvariety in $B'_R := B_R(0, \mathbb{C}^{n'})$ for sufficiently large $R > 0$ that maps x_0 to 0.

Lemma 5.1 *For any $x_0 \in M_0$, there are $m, C_1 > 0$ and a local embedding $i : (\mathcal{M}, x_0) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n'}, 0)$ such that:*

- (i) *For $U' := \mathcal{M} \cap i^{-1}B'_1$ and $U := \mathcal{M} \cap i^{-1}B'_2$, there is $v \in C^\infty(U)$ so that $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v$ and $\inf_{\partial U} v \geq C_1 + \sup_{U'} v$.*
- (ii) *There is a holomorphic map $\mathbf{p} : U \rightarrow B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ that restricts to a finite branched covering $\mathbf{p} : M_t \cap U \rightarrow B_1(0)$ of degree $\leq m$ for all $t \in \Delta$. (In particular, when $x_0 \notin S$, $\mathbf{p}|_{M_t \cap U}$ is an open embedding, such that $(\mathbf{p}^* \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n})|_{M_t} = c\Omega_t$ for a constant $c > 0$ independent of $t \in \Delta$.)*

Proof: (i) is obvious when $\mathcal{M}$ is smooth. When $\mathcal{M}$ is not smooth, there is a local embedding $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ such that $\omega = \tilde{\omega}|_{\mathcal{M}}$ for a smooth Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$. Then (i) is a consequence of the smooth case.

(ii) is a consequence of the local result Corollary 3.3, or the global result Corollary 3.4 that restricts to U . $\square$

Let g be a smooth Kähler metric with Kähler form ω on $\mathcal{M}$, $g_t = g|_{M_t}$, $\omega_t = \omega|_{M_t}$ for any t , and $\int_{M_t} \omega_t^n \equiv V$ for a constant V . By re-normalizing ω , we assume $V = 1$ for convenience. By Yau's theorem on the Calabi conjecture ([56]), for any $t \neq 0$, there is a unique $\varphi_t \in C^\infty(M_t)$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad (\omega_t + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_t)^n = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathcal{V}_t} \Omega_t \wedge \bar{\Omega}_t, \text{ and } \sup_{M_t} \varphi_t = 0.$$

Proposition 5.1 *There are constant $m, \bar{c} > 0$ and a finite collection $\{x_\alpha \in U'_\alpha \subset \subset U_\alpha, v_\alpha \in \text{PSH}(U_\alpha)\}$ with $\{U'_\alpha\}$ covering M_0 such that for each α , $x_\alpha \in M_0$, $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v_\alpha$ on U_α , $\inf_{\partial U_\alpha} v_\alpha \geq \bar{c} + \sup_{U'_\alpha} v_\alpha$, and there is a holomorphic map $\mathfrak{p}_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ that restricts to a finite branched covering $\mathfrak{p}_\alpha : M_t \cap U_\alpha \rightarrow B_1(0)$ of degree $\leq m$ for all $t \in \Delta$. (In particular, when $x_\alpha \notin S$, $\mathfrak{p}|_{M_t \cap U_\alpha}$ is an open embedding, such that $(\mathfrak{p}_\alpha^* \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n})|_{M_t} = C_\alpha \Omega_t$ for a constant $C_\alpha > 0$ independent of $t \in \Delta$.)*

For any $c_1, C_1 > 0$, let $\Lambda = \Lambda_{c_1, C_1}$ be the set of $t \in \Delta$ such that M_t is covered by $\{U_\alpha\}$ and for each α with $x_\alpha \in S$,

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{U_\alpha \cap M_t} |f_\alpha|^{-2c_1} (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega_t \wedge \bar{\Omega}_t \leq C_1, \text{ where } f_\alpha \Omega_t = \mathfrak{p}_\alpha^* \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}.$$

Then Λ is closed and there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that for any $t \in \Lambda$, $\inf_{M_t} \varphi_t \geq -C_2$.

Proof: The first part of the proposition is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.1 using the fact that M_0 is compact. Lemma 3.4 implies that Λ is closed.

If φ_t is not uniformly bounded below for $t \in \Lambda$, there is a sequence $t_k \in \Lambda \rightarrow 0$, and a sequence of points $x_k \in M_{t_k}$, such that M_{t_k} satisfies the assumption (5.2) and

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi_k(x_k) = \inf_{M_{t_k}} \varphi_k \rightarrow -\infty,$$

where $\varphi_k = \varphi_{t_k}$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $x_k \rightarrow p_\alpha \in M_0 \cap U'_\alpha$. From now on, our discussions only involve this fixed α .

By the first part of the proposition, there is a $v_\alpha \in \text{PSH}(U_\alpha)$ such that $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v_\alpha$ on U_α ,

$$\inf_{\partial U_\alpha} v_\alpha = 0 \text{ and } v_\alpha(p_\alpha) \leq -\bar{c}.$$

Let $V_k = U_\alpha \cap M_{t_k}$. Then, by (5.3), for $t_k \ll 1$,

$$v_\alpha(x_k) + \varphi_k(x_k) \leq \inf_{\partial U_\alpha \cap M_{t_k}} (v_\alpha + \varphi_k) - \frac{2\bar{c}}{3}.$$

Let $D = \frac{\bar{c}}{3} - 2\epsilon$ and $Q_k = v_\alpha(x_k) + \varphi_k(x_k) + \epsilon$ with $\epsilon \ll \bar{c}$. $U(q) = \{y \in V_k | v_\alpha(y) + \varphi_k(y) < q\} \subset U''_\alpha = \{y \in U_\alpha | v_\alpha(y) \leq -\bar{c}/3\} \subset \subset U_\alpha$ for any $q \in [Q_k, Q_k + D]$. In particular, $U(q)$ is not empty and relatively compact

in V_k . If $0 < \rho < Q_k + D - q$, and $w \in \text{PSH}(V_k)$ with $-1 \leq w < 0$, then $U(q) \subset \tilde{U} = \{\frac{v_\alpha + \varphi_k - q - \rho}{\rho} < w\} \cap V_k \subset U(q + \rho)$. By Theorem 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U(q)} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\partial \bar{\partial} w)^n &\leq \int_{\tilde{U}} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\partial \bar{\partial} w)^n \\ &\leq \rho^{-n} \int_{\tilde{U}} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\partial \bar{\partial} (v_\alpha + \varphi_k))^n \\ &\leq \rho^{-n} \int_{U(q+\rho)} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\partial \bar{\partial} (v_\alpha + \varphi_k))^n, \end{aligned}$$

thus, for any $0 < \rho < Q_k + D - q$, we obtain

$$\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(U(q), V_k) \leq \frac{1}{\rho^n} \int_{U(q+\rho)} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\partial \bar{\partial} (v_\alpha + \varphi_k))^n = \frac{1}{\rho^n \mathcal{V}_t} \int_{U(q+\rho)} d\mu_t.$$

(Notice that by our construction, the assumption (5.2) can be easily satisfied if $x_\alpha \notin S$.) Under the assumption (5.2), Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(U(q), V_k) \leq \frac{C}{\rho^n} \int_{U(q+\rho)} d\mu_t \leq \frac{C}{\rho^n} \frac{\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(U(q + \rho), V_k)}{h(\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(U(q + \rho), V_k)^{-\frac{1}{n}})}.$$

Lemma 2.2 applies to $a(q) := \text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(U(q), V_k)$ implies that

$$(5.4) \quad \text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(U(Q_k + D), V_k) \geq C > 0.$$

Since $U''_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$, there exists $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ such that $-1 \leq \chi \leq 0$, $\chi = 0$ outside of $U_\alpha \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $\chi = -1$ on U''_α . Clearly, for $C_3 > 0$ large enough, $\chi \in \text{PSH}_{C_1\omega}(\mathcal{M})$. Apply lemma 2.3, we have

$$\text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(U(Q_k + D), V_k) \leq C_3^n \text{Cap}_{\omega_{t_k}}(U(Q_k + D))$$

Let $C_4 = -\inf_{U_\alpha}(v_\alpha)$. Then $U(Q_k + D) = \{x \in V_k | \varphi_k(x) + v_\alpha(x) \leq Q_k + D\} \subset \{x \in M_{t_k} | \varphi_k(x) \leq Q_k + D + C_4\} =: \tilde{U}$, by Proposition 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_{\text{BT}}(U(Q_k + D), V_k) &\leq C_3^n \text{Cap}_{\omega_{t_k}}(\tilde{U}) \\ &\leq \frac{C_3^n}{|Q_k + D + C_4|} \left(- \int_{M_{t_k}} \varphi_k \omega_{t_k}^n + nV \right) < \frac{C}{|Q_k + D + C_4|} \end{aligned}$$

This estimate together with (5.4) implies that $\varphi_k(x_k) > C$. This contradicts (5.3), and finishes the proof of proposition 5.1. $\square$

Lemma 5.2 *Under the same situation as in Proposition 5.1, let $\tilde{\omega}_t = \omega_t + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_t$. For any compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{M} \setminus S$, there exists a constant $C_K > 0$ independent of $t \in \Lambda$ such that*

$$C\omega_t \leq \tilde{\omega}_t \leq C_K \omega_t,$$

on $K \cap M_t$, where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of $t \in \Lambda$ and K .

Proof: Let $\psi_t : (M_t, \tilde{\omega}_t) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be the inclusion maps, which are holomorphic. Then Yau's Schwarz lemma says

$$\Delta_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \log |\partial \psi_t|^2 \geq \frac{\text{Ric}_{\tilde{\omega}_t}(\partial \psi_t, \overline{\partial \psi_t})}{|\partial \psi_t|^2} - \frac{R_\omega(\partial \psi_t, \overline{\partial \psi_t}, \partial \psi_t, \overline{\partial \psi_t})}{|\partial \psi_t|^2},$$

where R_ω is the holomorphic bi-sectional curvature of ω (c.f. [6] or [58]). Note that there is a finite covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ such that, for any α , there is an embedding $i_\alpha : U_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m_\alpha}$, and a smooth strongly pluri-subharmonic function u_α on $i_\alpha(U_\alpha) \subset \mathbb{C}^{m_\alpha}$ satisfying that $\omega|_{U_\alpha} = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u_\alpha \circ i_\alpha$. Thus there is a uniform upper bound for the holomorphic bi-sectional curvature of ω on $\mathcal{M} \setminus S$.

Since $|\partial \psi_t|^2 = \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \omega_t = n - \Delta_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \varphi_t$ and $\text{Ric}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \equiv 0$, we have

$$\Delta_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \log \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \omega_t \geq -\bar{R} \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \omega_t,$$

where $\bar{R} = \max\{\sup_{\mathcal{M} \setminus S} R_\omega, 1\}$. Then

$$\Delta_{\tilde{\omega}_t} (\log \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \omega_t - 2\bar{R} \varphi_t) \geq -2n\bar{R} + \bar{R} \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \omega_t.$$

By the maximum principle, there is a point $x \in M_t$ such that $\text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \omega_t(x) \leq 2n$, and

$$\log \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \omega_t - 2\bar{R} \varphi_t \leq (\log \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \omega_t - 2\bar{R} \varphi_t)(x) \leq \log 2n - 2\bar{R} \varphi_t(x).$$

Hence

$$\text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}_t} \omega_t \leq 2ne^{2\bar{R}(\varphi_t - \varphi_t(x))} \leq C, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_t \leq C\tilde{\omega}_t,$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of t by Proposition 5.1. Note that, for any compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{M} \setminus S$, there exists a constant $C'_K > 0$ independent of t such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_t^n = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathcal{V}_t} \Omega_t \wedge \bar{\Omega}_t \leq C'_K \omega_t^n,$$

on $K \cap M_t$. We obtain that $C\omega_t \leq \tilde{\omega}_t \leq C_K \omega_t$. $\square$

In [22], it is proved that there is a unique continuous function $\hat{\varphi}_0$ on M_0 , which is smooth on $M_0 \setminus S$, satisfying that

$$(5.5) \quad (\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \hat{\varphi}_0)^n = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathcal{V}_0} \Omega_0 \wedge \bar{\Omega}_0, \quad \sup \hat{\varphi}_0 = 0,$$

in the distribution sense on M_0 , and as smooth forms on $M_0 \setminus S$, i.e. $\tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \hat{\varphi}_0$ is the unique singular Ricci-flat Kähler form (See Section 2 for details).

Recall the smooth embedding $F : M_0 \setminus S \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ constructed in the introduction. Let $F_t := F|_{M_0 \setminus S \times \{t\}} : M_0 \setminus S \rightarrow M_t$. For any compact subset $K \subset M_0 \setminus S$, $F_t^* \omega_t$ C^∞ -converges to ω_0 , and $dF_t^{-1} J_t dF_t$ C^∞ -converges to J_0 on K when $t \rightarrow 0$, where J_t (resp. J_0) is the complex structure on M_t (resp. M_0).

Theorem 5.1 *Under the same situation as in Proposition 5.1, on any compact subset $K \subset M_0 \setminus S$, $F_t^* \varphi_t$ converges to $\hat{\varphi}_0$ smoothly, when $t \in \Lambda \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, the diameters of $(M_t, \tilde{g}_t)$ have a uniformly upper bound, i.e.*

$$(5.6) \quad \text{diam}_{\tilde{g}_t}(M_t) \leq \bar{C},$$

for a constant $\bar{C} > 0$ independent of $t \in \Lambda$.

Proof: By Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, for any compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{M} \setminus S$, there exist constants $C > 0$, $C_K > 0$ independent of t such that $\|\varphi_t\|_{C^0(M_t)} \leq C$, and $C^{-1}\omega_t \leq \omega_t + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_t \leq C_K\omega_t$. By Theorem 17.14 in [31], we have $\|\varphi_t\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(M_t \cap K)} \leq C_K''$ for a constant $C_K'' > 0$, and, furthermore, for any $l > 0$, $\|\varphi_t\|_{C^{l,\alpha}(M_t \cap K)} \leq C_{K,l}$ for constants $C_{K,l} > 0$ independent of t by the standard bootstrapping argument. Thus, by passing to a subsequence, $F_{K_i,k}^* \varphi_{t_k}$ C^∞ -converges to a smooth function φ_0 on K_i with $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} < C$. By the standard diagram argument, we can extend φ_0 to a smooth function on $M_0 \setminus S$, denoted by φ_0 too, which satisfies the equation

$$(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0)^n = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathcal{V}_0} \Omega_0 \wedge \bar{\Omega}_0$$

and $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} < C$, where $\mathcal{V}_0 = \int_{M_0 \setminus S} (-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \Omega_0 \wedge \bar{\Omega}_0$. Hence $\tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0$ is a Ricci-flat Kähler form on $M_0 \setminus S$.

Let $\bar{\pi} : \bar{M}_0 \rightarrow M_0$ be a resolution of M_0 , which exists by [34]. Note that $\bar{\pi}^*\omega_0$ is a semi-positive $(1,1)$ -form on $\bar{M}_0$, and $\bar{\pi}^*\varphi_0$ is a bounded $\bar{\pi}^*\omega_0$ -pluri-subharmonic function on $\bar{M}_0 \setminus \bar{\pi}^{-1}(S)$. We claim that $\bar{\pi}^*\varphi_0$ can be extended to a bounded $\bar{\pi}^*\omega_0$ -pluri-subharmonic function $\bar{\varphi}_0$ on $\bar{M}_0$. Let $\{U_\gamma\}$ be a family of coordinate charts on $\bar{M}_0$ such that $\bigcup_\gamma U_\gamma = \bar{M}_0$. For each U_γ , there is a smooth pluri-subharmonic function v_γ on U_γ such that $\bar{\pi}^*\omega_0 = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v_\gamma$, and, for any E_α , there is a holomorphic function $f_{\gamma,\alpha}$ with $f_{\gamma,\alpha}^{-1}(0) = E_\alpha \cap U_\gamma$. Note that $\log|f_{\gamma,\alpha}|$ is a pluri-subharmonic function, and $E_\alpha \cap U_\gamma$ is a pluripolar set. Since $v_\gamma + \bar{\pi}^*\varphi_0$ is a bounded pluri-subharmonic function on $U_\gamma \setminus E_\alpha$, $\bar{\pi}^*\varphi_0$ can be extended uniquely to a function $\bar{\varphi}_{0,\gamma}$ such that $v_\gamma + \bar{\varphi}_{0,\gamma}$ is a pluri-subharmonic function on U_γ by Theorem 5.24 in [20]. By the uniqueness, there is a $\bar{\pi}^*\omega_0$ -pluri-subharmonic function $\bar{\varphi}_0$ on $\bar{M}_0$ satisfying that $\bar{\varphi}_0|_{U_\gamma} = \bar{\varphi}_{0,\gamma}$.

Now we prove that $\bar{\varphi}_0 \in L^\infty(\bar{M}_0)$. From the proof of Theorem 5.23 in [20], $(v_\gamma + \bar{\varphi}_{0,\gamma})(x) = \nu^*(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{B(x,\epsilon)} \nu$, where $\nu(x) = \sup_\delta \nu_\delta(x)$, $\nu_\delta = v_\gamma + \bar{\pi}^*\varphi_0 +$

$\delta \log|f_{\gamma,\alpha}|$ on $U_\gamma \setminus E_\alpha$, and $\nu_\delta \equiv -\infty$ on $U_\gamma \cap E_\alpha$. By assuming $|f_{\gamma,\alpha}| < 1$, we have $\nu = v_\gamma + \bar{\pi}^*\varphi_0$ on $U_\gamma \setminus E_\alpha$, and $\nu \equiv -\infty$ on $U_\gamma \cap E_\alpha$. Thus $C_1 < \inf_{U_\gamma \setminus E_\alpha} (v_\gamma + \bar{\pi}^*\varphi_0) \leq v_\gamma + \bar{\varphi}_{0,\gamma} \leq \sup_{U_\gamma \setminus E_\alpha} (v_\gamma + \bar{\pi}^*\varphi_0) < C_2$, and $\bar{\varphi}_0 \in L^\infty(\bar{M}_0)$. Thus $(\bar{\pi}^*\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\varphi}_0)^n$ is a probability measure (c.f. [7]), and

$$(\bar{\pi}^*\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\varphi}_0)^n = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathcal{V}_0} \bar{\pi}^*\Omega_0 \wedge \bar{\pi}^*\bar{\Omega}_0 \text{ on } \bar{M}_0 \setminus \bar{\pi}^{-1}(S).$$

Now we prove that $\bar{\varphi}_0$ is the unique solution of

$$(5.7) \quad (\bar{\pi}^*\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\varphi}_0)^n = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathcal{V}_0} \bar{\pi}^*\Omega_0 \wedge \bar{\pi}^*\bar{\Omega}_0.$$

By Lemma 6.4 in [22], there is a function $f \in L^{1+\varepsilon}((\bar{\pi}^* \omega_0)^n)$, for an $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $d\mu = f(\bar{\pi}^* \omega_0)^n$, where $d\mu = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathcal{V}_0} \bar{\pi}^* \Omega_0 \wedge \bar{\pi}^* \bar{\Omega}_0$. Note that, for any smooth function $\chi \geq 0$ on $\bar{M}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\bar{\pi}^{-1}(B_{g_0}(S, \sigma))} \chi d\mu \leq C \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\bar{\pi}^{-1}(B_{g_0}(S, \sigma))} f(\bar{\pi}^* \omega_0)^n \\ &\leq C \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \text{Vol}_{g_0}(B_{g_0}(S, \sigma))^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{g_0}(S, \sigma) = \{x \in M_0 | d_{g_0}(x, S) < \sigma\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bar{M}_0} \chi d\mu &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{\bar{M}_0 \setminus \bar{\pi}^{-1}(B_{g_0}(S, \sigma))} \chi d\mu + \int_{\bar{\pi}^{-1}(B_{g_0}(S, \sigma))} \chi d\mu \right) \\ &= \int_{\bar{M}_0 \setminus \bar{\pi}^{-1}(S)} \chi d\mu = \int_{\bar{M}_0 \setminus \bar{\pi}^{-1}(S)} \chi (\bar{\pi}^* \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \bar{\varphi}_0)^n \leq \int_{\bar{M}_0} \chi (\bar{\pi}^* \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \bar{\varphi}_0)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d\mu \leq (\bar{\pi}^* \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \bar{\varphi}_0)^n$ on M_0 in the distribution sense. Since

$$\int_{\bar{M}_0} (\bar{\pi}^* \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \bar{\varphi}_0)^n = \int_{\bar{M}_0} \bar{\pi}^* \omega_0^n = 1 = \int_{\bar{M}_0} d\mu,$$

we obtain

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathcal{V}_0} \bar{\pi}^* \Omega_0 \wedge \bar{\pi}^* \bar{\Omega}_0 = d\mu = (\bar{\pi}^* \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \bar{\varphi}_0)^n$$

in the distribution sense. From the following theorem, $\bar{\varphi}_0$ is the unique solution of (5.8).

Theorem 5.2 (Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 3.1 in [22]) *Let ω be a semi-positive $(1, 1)$ -form on a compact Kähler n -manifold X , and $f \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(\omega^n)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is a unique function $\varphi \in L^\infty(X)$ such that*

$$(\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi)^n = f \omega^n, \quad \sup_X \varphi = 0.$$

Furthermore, from [22], $\bar{\varphi}_0$ is a continuous function, and φ_0 can be extended to a continuous function on M_0 , denoted by φ_0 also, such that $\bar{\varphi}_0 = \bar{\pi}^* \varphi_0$. Then φ_0 is a solution of (5.5). By the uniqueness of the solution of (5.5), $\varphi_0 = \hat{\varphi}_0$, and $F_{K_i, k}^* \varphi_{t_k}$ C^∞ -converges to a smooth function $\hat{\varphi}_0$ on K_i , i.e. we do not need to take a subsequence of $F_{K_i, k}^* \varphi_{t_k}$. We obtain the first part of the theorem.

It remains to show the uniform diameter bound. Note that, by Lemma 5.2, there are $C', C'_K > 0$ independent of t such that $C' g_t \leq \tilde{g}_t \leq (C'_K)^{-1} g_t$ on K . Then there is $0 < r \leq 1$ independent of t such that $B_{g_t}(p_t, C'_K r) \subset B_{\tilde{g}_t}(p_t, r) \subset K \subset \subset \mathcal{M} \setminus S$ for certain $p_t \in K \cap M_t$. Thus

$$\text{Vol}_{\tilde{g}_t}(B_{\tilde{g}_t}(p_t, r)) \geq \text{Vol}_{\tilde{g}_t}(B_{g_t}(p_t, C'_K r)) \geq (C')^n \text{Vol}_{g_t}(B_{g_t}(p_t, C'_K r)) > C$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of t . Thus

$$\text{Vol}_{\tilde{g}_t}(B_{\tilde{g}_t}(p_t, 1)) \geq C, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}_{\tilde{g}_t}(M_t) < \bar{C} < \infty$$

by Lemma 3.2 and the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

By (5.6), and Gromov's precompactness theorem (c.f. [28]), for any $t_k \rightarrow 0$ with $\{t_k\} \subset \Lambda$, by passing to a subsequence, $\{(M, \tilde{g}_{t_k})\}$ converges to a compact length metric space (Y, d_Y) in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. By the same arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we obtain an embedding $f : (M_0 \setminus S, \tilde{g}_0) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$, which is a local isometry.

Conjecture 5.1 *There is a homeomorphism $\tilde{f} : M_0 \rightarrow Y$ such that $\tilde{f}|_{M_0 \setminus S} = f$.*

Remark: If $n = 2$, this conjecture is true by the same arguments as in Section 4, since M_0 is a K3 orbifold. $\square$

For conifold singularity, locally $M_t = \{\pi(z) = z_0^2 + \cdots + z_n^2 = t\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, take $\mathbf{p}(z) = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $f = z_0$. condition (5.2) can be verified directly, therefore, we have a direct proof of corollary 1.2.

Direct proof of Corollary 1.2: M_0 has only finite many ordinary double points as singular points. Since the local smoothing of an ordinary double point is unique, when $x_\alpha \in S$ is an ordinary double point, by possibly taking U_α smaller at the beginning, there is coordinate $z = (z_0, \dots, z_n)$ on the neighborhood U_α of x_α such that $x_\alpha = (0, \dots, 0)$, and $\pi(z) = z_0^2 + \cdots + z_n^2$.

$$\int_{U_\alpha \cap M_t} |f_\alpha|^{-2c} d\mu_t = \int_{\mathbf{p}(U_\alpha \cap M_t)} |f_\alpha|^{-2(1+c)} d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n} \leq \int_{B_1} \frac{d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n}}{|t - (z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2)|^{1+c}}$$

It is straightforward to verify that this integral is bounded independent of $t \in \Delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} \frac{d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n}}{|t - (z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2)|^{1+c}} &= \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{|t|}}}} \frac{|t|^{n-1-c} d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n}}{|1 - (z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2)|^{1+c}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B_R} + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{D_i} \right) \frac{|t|^{n-1-c} d\mu_{\mathbb{C}^n}}{|1 - (z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2)|^{1+c}} = I_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n I_i \end{aligned}$$

where $D_i = \{z' \in B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{|t|}}} \setminus B_R : n|z_i| \geq |z'|\}$. Clearly, $I_0 \leq C$. On D_i , change the coordinate from $z' = (z_i, z'_i)$ to (z_0, z'_i) by $\pi(z) = 1$, we get $|z_0|^2 \leq 1 + |z'|^2 \leq 1 + 1/t$. For $c > 0$ small,

$$I_i \leq \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{|t|}}}} \frac{|t|^{n-1-c} d\mu(z_0) d\mu(z'_i)}{|z_0|^{2c} \max(R^2, |z'|^2)} \leq |t|^{n-1-c} \int_{B_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{|t|}}}} \frac{d\mu(z_0)}{|z_0|^{2c}} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{|t|}}}} \frac{d\mu(z'_i)}{\max(R^2, |z'_i|^2)}$$

$$\leq C|t|^{n-1-c}|t|^{c-1}|t|^{-(n-2)} = C$$

This verifies the condition (5.2) for all $t \in \Delta$. Then Theorem 5.1 implies the Corollary 1.2. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2: It is straightforward to see that under the condition (1.1) for $\Lambda = \Delta$, proposition 5.1 can be proved with the condition (5.2) satisfied for all $t \in \Delta$. Then Theorem 5.1 implies the Theorem 1.2. $\square$

Lemma 5.3 *If $\mathcal{M}$ is locally homogeneous, proposition 5.1 can be strengthened so that there exists $c_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for $c \in [0, c_1]$,*

$$\int_{U_\alpha \cap M_{\Delta(\sigma)}} \frac{d\mu}{|f_\alpha|^{2c}} \leq C_2 |\Delta(\sigma)|.$$

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $c \in [0, c_1]$, there is $C_1 > 0$ such that $\Lambda = \Lambda(c, C_1)$ satisfies $|\Lambda \cap \Delta(\sigma)| \geq (1 - \epsilon) |\Delta(\sigma)|$ for $\sigma > 0$ small. In particular, 0 is an accumulating point of Λ .

Proof: When $\mathcal{M}$ is locally homogeneous, by possibly taking U_α smaller at the beginning, Theorem 3.2 can be applied to $M = U_\alpha$ and $\psi = f_\alpha$ to show that there exists $c_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for $c \in [0, c_1]$,

$$\int_{\Delta(\sigma)} d\mu_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{U_\alpha \cap M_t} \frac{d\mu_t}{|f_\alpha|^{2c}} = \int_{U_\alpha \cap M_{\Delta(\sigma)}} \frac{d\mu}{|f_\alpha|^{2c}} \leq C_2 |\Delta(\sigma)|.$$

According to the definition of Λ ,

$$C_1 |\Delta(\sigma) \setminus \Lambda| \leq \int_{\Delta(\sigma)} d\mu_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{U_\alpha \cap M_t} \frac{d\mu_t}{|f_\alpha|^{2c}} \leq C_2 |\Delta(\sigma)|.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to take $C_1 = C_2/\epsilon$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3: By Lemma 5.3, 0 is an accumulating point of Λ , there exists sequence $t_k \rightarrow 0$ in Λ . Then Theorem 5.1 implies the Theorem 1.3. $\square$

Lemma 5.4 *If $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ satisfies the condition (1.2), proposition 5.1 can be strengthened so that there exists $c_1, C_1 > 0$ such that for $c \in [0, c_1]$ and $t \in \Delta$,*

$$\int_{U_\alpha \cap M_t} \frac{d\mu_t}{|f_\alpha|^{2c}} \leq C_1.$$

In another word, $\Lambda = \Lambda_{c, C_1} = \Delta$.

Proof: When $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ satisfies the condition (1.2), by possibly taking U_α smaller at the beginning, Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 can be applied to $M = U_\alpha$

and $\psi = f_\alpha$ to show that there exists $c_1, C_1 > 0$ such that for $c \in [0, c_1]$ and $t \in \Delta$,

$$\int_{U_\alpha \cap M_t} \frac{d\mu_t}{|f_\alpha|^{2c}} \leq C_1.$$

According to the definition of Λ , this means $\Lambda = \Lambda_{c, C_1} = \Delta$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 5.1 implies the Theorem 1.4. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.3: Note that

$$Vol_{\tilde{g}_t}(M_t) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{M_t} \tilde{\omega}_t^n = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{n! \mathcal{V}_t} \int_{M_t} \Omega_t \wedge \overline{\Omega}_t$$

converges to

$$\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{n! \mathcal{V}_0} \int_{M_0 \setminus S} \Omega_0 \wedge \overline{\Omega}_0 = Vol_{\tilde{g}_0}(M_0 \setminus S),$$

when $t \rightarrow 0$. By (5.6) and Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem, we obtain that, for any metric ball $B_{\tilde{g}_t}(r)$, $t \neq 0$,

$$(5.9) \quad Vol_{\tilde{g}_t}(B_{\tilde{g}_t}(r)) \geq \frac{Vol_{\tilde{g}_t}(M)}{diam_{\tilde{g}_t}^{2n}(M)} r^{2n} \geq C r^{2n},$$

where C is a constant independent of t . Since $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S < 2n$, $Vol_{\tilde{g}_0}(M_0 \setminus S) = \mathcal{H}^{2n}(M_0)$. We obtain the conclusion from Theorem 4.1, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.2. $\square$

6 Collapsing of a Calabi-Yau threefold

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5: Let $W_i = \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, 2$, and $W = W_0 \cup W_1$ by identifying $([x_0, y_0, z_0], u_0) \in W_0$ with $([x_1, y_1, z_1], u_1) \in W_1$ if and only if $u_0 u_1 = 1$, $u_0^4 x_1 = x_0$, $u_0^6 y_1 = y_0$ and $z_1 = z_0$. Note that $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{C}$ by identifying $u_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $u_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $u_0 u_1 = 1$. There is a holomorphic map $\Psi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ given by $\Psi : ([x_i, y_i, z_i], u_i) \mapsto u_i$. For a point $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_8, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{12}) \in \mathbb{R}^{20}$, define $\mathfrak{g}(u) = \prod_{\nu=1}^8 (u - \tau_\nu)$, and $\mathfrak{h}(u) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{12} (u - \sigma_\nu)$. Let X_τ be the algebraic surface given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= y_0^2 z_0 - 4x_0^3 + \mathfrak{g}(u_0) x_0 z_0^2 + \mathfrak{h}(u_0) z_0^3 = 0, \quad \text{and} \\ f_1 &= y_1^2 z_1 - 4x_1^3 + u_1^8 \mathfrak{g}(u_1^{-1}) x_1 z_1^2 + u_1^{12} \mathfrak{h}(u_1^{-1}) z_1^3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Section 5 in [37], $(X_\tau, \Psi|_{X_\tau})$ is an elliptic K3 surface, and there is a holomorphic section $\sigma : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow X_\tau$ given by $u_0 \mapsto ([0, u_0^6, 0], u_0) \in W_0$ and $u_1 \mapsto ([0, 1, 0], u_1) \in W_1$. Note that conjugate maps $\iota_1 : W_i \rightarrow W_i$ given by $([x_i, y_i, z_i], u_i) \mapsto ([\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i, \bar{z}_i], \bar{u}_i)$, and $\iota_2 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $u_i \mapsto \bar{u}_i$ preserve X_τ , Ψ and σ . Hence $\iota = (\iota_1, \iota_2)$ induces an anti-holomorphic involution on $(X_\tau, \Psi|_{X_\tau})$. We denote I the complex structure of X_τ . There is a holomorphic volume form

$$\Omega_I = du_0 \wedge (z_0 dx_0 - x_0 dz_0) / \partial_{y_0} f_0 = du_1 \wedge (z_1 dx_1 - x_1 dz_1) / \partial_{y_1} f_1,$$

on X_τ , which satisfies that $\iota_1^* \Omega_I = \bar{\Omega}_I$ (c.f. Section 5 in [37]).

Lemma 6.1 *There is a sequence of Ricci-flat Kähler forms ω_k on X_τ such that $\iota_1^* \omega_k = -\omega_k$, $2\omega_k^2 = \Omega_I \wedge \bar{\Omega}_I$ and, for any $y \in \mathbb{CP}^1$,*

$$\epsilon_k = \int_{\Psi|_{X_\tau}^{-1}(y)} \omega_k \rightarrow 0,$$

when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Note that $H^2(W_i, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $H^1(W_0 \cap W_1, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^1(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{R})$, and they are generated by the Fubini-Study metric ω_{FS} on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and $\text{Im} \frac{dz}{z}$ on $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ respectively. Thus $\iota_1^* : H^j(W_i, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^j(W_i, \mathbb{R})$, $j = 1, 2$, is $\iota_1^* \gamma = -\gamma$, for any $\gamma \in H^j(W_i, \mathbb{R})$. By Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \rightarrow & H^1(W_0 \cap W_1, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{h_1} & H^2(W, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{h_2} & H^2(W_0, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^2(W_1, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{h_3} & H^2(W_0 \cap W_1, \mathbb{R}) \\ \iota_1^* = -\text{id} \downarrow & & & \iota_1^* \downarrow & & \iota_1^* = -\text{id} \downarrow & & \iota_1^* \downarrow \\ \rightarrow & H^1(W_0 \cap W_1, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{h_1} & H^2(W, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{h_2} & H^2(W_0, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^2(W_1, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{h_3} & H^2(W_0 \cap W_1, \mathbb{R}). \end{array}$$

Thus we have that $\iota_1^* : H^2(W, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(W, \mathbb{R})$ is given by $\iota_1^* = -\text{id}$. Note that $H^1(W_i, \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$, and $h_3([\omega_0], [\omega_1]) = [\omega_0 - \omega_1]$. Thus, $\text{Im} h_2 = \text{Ker} h_3 = \mathbb{R} \cdot ([\omega_{FS}], [\omega_{FS}])$, h_1 is injective, and $H^2(W, \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Im} h_1 \oplus \text{Im} h_2 \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. As W admits Kähler metrics, we have $2 = \dim H^2(W, \mathbb{R}) = 2h^{2,0} + h^{1,1}$. Thus $h^{2,0} = 0$, and $H^2(W, \mathbb{R}) = H^{1,1}(W, \mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, we have two generators of $H^{1,1}(W, \mathbb{R})$, $\alpha = [\Psi^* \omega'_{FS}]$, where ω'_{FS} is the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{CP}^1$, and β , which satisfies that, for any $y \in \mathbb{CP}^1$, $i_y^* \beta = [\omega_{FS}] \in H^2(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathbb{R})$ where $i_y : \mathbb{CP}^2 = \Psi^{-1}(y) \hookrightarrow W$ is the inclusion. Since $\Psi^* \omega'_{FS}$ is a semi-positive form, the Kähler cone of W is $\mathbb{K}_W = \{a\alpha + b\beta | b > 0, a > k_0 b\}$ for a constant k_0 . By $\alpha^2 = 0$,

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \alpha \wedge \beta, [X_\tau] \rangle = \langle \alpha \wedge (2k_0 \alpha + \beta), [X_\tau] \rangle = \int_{X_\tau} \Psi^* \omega'_{FS} \wedge \omega' = \int_{X_\tau} |\Psi|_{X_\tau}|^2 \omega'^2 > 0,$$

where ω' is a Kähler form representing $2k_0 \alpha + \beta$.

If ω_s are the Kähler forms such that $[\omega_s] = \alpha + s\beta$, $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2|k_0|}]$, then we have

$$\mu(s) = \int_{X_\tau} \omega_s^2 = 2sC_{\alpha\beta} + s^2\langle\beta^2, [X_\tau]\rangle, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_{\Psi|_{X_\tau}^{-1}(y)} \omega_s = s\langle\beta, [\Psi|_{X_\tau}^{-1}(y)]\rangle = s \int_{\Psi|_{X_\tau}^{-1}(y)} \omega_{FS}.$$

If $\bar{\omega}_s = \mu(s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_s$, then $\iota_1^*[\bar{\omega}_s] = -[\bar{\omega}_s]$,

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{X_\tau} \bar{\omega}_s^2 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Psi|_{X_\tau}^{-1}(y)} \bar{\omega}_s = \mu(s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}s \int_{\Psi|_{X_\tau}^{-1}(y)} \omega_{FS} \rightarrow 0,$$

when $s \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\iota_1^*[\bar{\omega}_s|_{X_\tau}] = -[\bar{\omega}_s|_{X_\tau}]$ in $H^{1,1}(X_\tau, \mathbb{R})$. Let $s_k \rightarrow 0$, and ω_k be the Ricci-flat Kähler forms representing $[\bar{\omega}_{s_k}|_{X_\tau}]$. By the uniqueness of the Ricci-flat Kähler form in a Kähler class, we obtain that $\iota_1^*\omega_k = -\omega_k$. By (6.1), and re-scaling ω_k if necessary, we obtain the conclusion. $\square$

Note that, for any k , $(X_\tau, \omega_k, \Omega_I)$ is a hyper-Kähler manifold. By re-scaling Ω_I if necessary, $\omega_k^2 = (\text{Re}\Omega_I)^2 = (\text{Im}\Omega_I)^2$. By using hyper-Kähler rotation, we can find a new complex structure J_k with a holomorphic volume form

$$\Omega_{J_k} = \text{Im}\Omega_I + \sqrt{-1}\omega_k, \quad \text{and a Kähler form } \omega_{J_k} = \text{Re}\Omega_I.$$

Since $\iota_1^*\omega_{J_k} = \omega_{J_k}$ and $\iota_1^*\Omega_{J_k} = -\Omega_{J_k}$, ι_1 is a holomorphic involution of (X_τ, J_k) . Let $T_k^2 = \mathbb{C}/(\epsilon_k^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-1}\epsilon_k^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{Z})$, and ι_3 be the holomorphic involution on T_k^2 given by $z \mapsto -z$. The holomorphic involution $\iota = (\iota_1, \iota_3)$ on $X_\tau \times T_k^2$ preserves the Kähler form $\hat{\omega}_k = \omega_{J_k} + \sqrt{-1}dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ and the holomorphic volume form $\hat{\Omega}_k = \Omega_{J_k} \wedge dz$, i.e.

$$\iota^*\hat{\omega}_k = \hat{\omega}_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \iota^*\hat{\Omega}_k = \hat{\Omega}_k.$$

Hence $(X_\tau \times T_k^2)/\langle\iota\rangle$ is a Calabi-Yau orbifold with $H^{2,0}((X_\tau \times T_k^2)/\langle\iota\rangle) = 0$, the Kähler form $\hat{\omega}_k$ (resp. the holomorphic volume form $\hat{\Omega}_k$) induces an orbifold Kähler form $\hat{\omega}_k$ (resp. a holomorphic volume form $\hat{\Omega}_k$) on $(X_\tau \times T_k^2)/\langle\iota\rangle$, denoted still by $\hat{\omega}_k$ and $\hat{\Omega}_k$. For any k , let M_k be a crepant resolution of $(X_\tau \times T_k^2)/\langle\iota\rangle$. Note that the homeomorphism type of M_k is independent of k , however, the complex structures on M_k are different for different k .

Now we follow the arguments in Section 5 of [37], and take $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_8, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{12})$ satisfy that $\tau_\lambda \neq \tau_\nu$, $\tau_\lambda \neq \sigma_\nu$, and $\sigma_\lambda \neq \sigma_\nu$, $f(u) = \frac{\mathfrak{g}(u)^3}{\mathfrak{g}(u)^3 - 27\mathfrak{h}(u)^2}$ has no multiple pole, where $\mathfrak{g}(u) = \prod_{\nu=1}^8(u - \tau_\nu)$ and $\mathfrak{h}(u) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{12}(u - \sigma_\nu)$. Then all singular fibers of $\Psi|_{X_\tau} : X_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ are type I_1 (c.f. Section 5 in [37]), which implies that $(X_\tau, \Psi|_{X_\tau})$ is an elliptic K3 surface with all singular fibers of type I_1 , and a holomorphic section σ .

Let ω_k be a sequence of Ricci-flat Kähler forms on X_τ given in Lemma 1.5, and $\hat{g}_k$ be the corresponding Kähler metrics. By [30], a subsequence of $(X_\tau, \epsilon_k \hat{g}_k)$ converges to $(\mathbb{CP}^1, h)$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, where h is a singular Riemannian metric h on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ with 24 singular points $\{q_i, i =$

$1, \dots, 24\}$. Furthermore, $\Psi|_{X_\tau}$ and σ are Hausdorff approximations from the proof of Theorem 6.4 in [30]. Since $\iota_1^* \hat{g}_k = \hat{g}_k$, $\Psi|_{X_\tau} \circ \iota_1 = \iota_2 \circ \Psi|_{X_\tau}$ and $\sigma \circ \iota_2 = \iota_1 \circ \sigma$, we obtain $\iota_2^* h = h$. Note that, under the hyperKähler rotation, for any k , $\hat{g}_k$ is still a Kähler metric corresponding to the complex structure J_k , whose Kähler form is ω_{J_k} . Thus $(X_\tau \times T_k^2, \epsilon_k(\hat{g}_k + dz \otimes d\bar{z}))$ converges to $(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times S^1, h + d\theta^2)$ in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology, where $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $X_\tau \times T_k^2$ by the involution $\iota = (\iota_1, \iota_3)$, acts on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times S^1$ by the involution $\iota' = (\iota_1, \iota_4)$, and $\iota_4 : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is given by $\theta \mapsto -\theta$. If $\check{g}_k$ (resp. $\check{h}$) is the induced Ricci-flat orbifold Kähler metrics on $X_\tau \times T_k^2 / \langle \iota \rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times S^1 / \langle \iota' \rangle$) by $\epsilon_k(\hat{g}_k + dz \otimes d\bar{z})$ (resp. $h + d\theta^2$), then $(X_\tau \times T_k^2 / \langle \iota \rangle, \check{g}_k)$ converges to (B, d_B) in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, where $B = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times S^1 / \langle \iota' \rangle$, and d_B is the distance function induced by $\check{h}$. Let Π be the union of the singularity set of the orbifold B , and the image of $\{q_i, i = 1, \dots, 24\} \times S^1$ under the quotient map $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow B$. We denote $g_B = \check{h}|_{B \setminus \Pi}$ on $B \setminus \Pi$. By [29], B is homeomorphic to S^3 . By Corollary 1.1, for any k , we have a Ricci-flat Kähler metric g_k on M_k such that

$$d_{GH}((X_\tau \times T_k^2 / \langle \iota \rangle, \check{g}_k), (M_k, g_k)) < \frac{1}{k}.$$

We obtain the conclusion by the diagonal arguments. $\square$

References

- [1] P.S. Aspinwalla, B.R. Green and D.R. Morrison, *Calabi-Yau moduli space, mirror manifolds and spacetime topology change in string theory*, Nuclear Physics B416 (1994), 414-480.
- [2] M.T.Anderson, *The L^2 structure of moduli spaces of Einstein metrics on 4-manifolds*, G.A.F.A. (1991), 231-251.
- [3] M.T.Anderson, *Convergence and rigidity of manifolds under Ricci curvature bounds*, Invent. Math. 102 (1990), 429-445.
- [4] W.L.Baily, *On the Imbeddings of V-manifolds in projective space*, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol.79, 2(1957), 403-430.
- [5] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1987.
- [6] S.Bando, R.Kobayashi, *Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on affine algebraic manifolds II*, Math. Annalen, 287 (1990), 175-180.
- [7] E.Bedford, B.A.Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. 194 (1982), 1-40.
- [8] J.Borzellino, *Orbifolds of Maximal Diameter*, Indiana U. Math. J. 42(1993), 37-53.

- [9] P.Candelas, X.C.de la Ossa, *Comments on conifolds*, Nuclear Phys. B342 no.1 (1990), 246-268.
- [10] P. Candelas, P.S. Green, T. Hübsch, *Rolling among Calabi-Yau vacua*, Nucl. Phys. B 330 (1990) 49-102.
- [11] S.Y.Cheng, P.Li, *Heat kernel estimates and lower bound of eigenvalues*, Comment. Math. Helv., 56(1981), 327-338.
- [12] J.Cheeger, *Degeneration of Einstein metrics and metrics with special holonomy*, in *Surveys in differential geometry VIII*, 29-73.
- [13] J.Cheeger, T.H.Colding, *On the structure of space with Ricci curvature bounded below I*, Jour. of Diff. Geom. 46(1997), 406-480.
- [14] J.Cheeger, T.H.Colding, *On the structure of space with Ricci curvature bounded below II*, Jour. of Diff. Geom. 52(1999), 13-35.
- [15] J.Cheeger, T.H.Colding, G.Tian, *On the singularities of spaces with bounded Ricci curvature*, Geom.Funct.Anal. Vol.12 (2002), 873-914.
- [16] J.Cheeger, G.Tian, *Anti-self-duality of curvature and degeneration of metrics with special holonomy*, Commun. Math. Phys. 255 (2005), 391-417.
- [17] T.H.Colding, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*, Ann. of Math. 145(1997), 477-501.
- [18] D.A.Cox, S.Katz, *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vol68, American Mathematical Society, (1999).
- [19] E.B.Davis, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [20] J.P.Demaily, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, online book.
- [21] J.P.Demaily, T.Peternell, M.Schneider, *Kähler Manifolds with numerically effective Ricci class*, Comp. Math. 89 (1993), 217-240.
- [22] P.Eyssidieux, V.Guedj, A.Zeriahi, *Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (2009), 607-639.
- [23] J.E.Fornaess, R.Narasimhan, *The Levi problem on complex space with singularities*, Math. Ann., 248 (1980), 47-72.
- [24] K.Fukaya, *Hausdorff convergence of Riemannian manifolds and its application*, Advance Studies in Pure Mathematics, 18 (1990), 143-234.
- [25] H.Griffiths, J.Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1978.
- [26] P.S.Green, T.Hübsch, *Connetting moduli spaces of Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. 119 (1988) 431-441.

- [27] R.E.Green, H.Wu, *Lipschitz converges of Riemannian manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. 131 (1988), 119-141.
- [28] M.Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Birkhäuser 1999.
- [29] M.Gross, P.M.H.Wilson, *Mirror symmetry via 3-tori for a class of Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Math. Ann. 309 (1997), 505-531.
- [30] M.Gross, P.M.H.Wilson, *Large complex structure limits of K3 surfaces*, J. Diff. Geom. 55 (2000), 475-546.
- [31] D.Gilbarg, N.S.Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second two*, Springer 1983.
- [32] V.Guedj, A.Zeriahi, *Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. 15 (2005), 607-639.
- [33] R.Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [34] H.Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, I, II*, Ann. Math. 79 (1964), 109-326.
- [35] R.Kobayashi, A.N.Todorov, *Polarized period map for generalized K3 surfaces and the moduli of Einstein metrics*, Tohoku Math. Journ. 39 (1987), 341-363.
- [36] R.Kobayashi, *Moduli of Einstein metrics on K3 surface and degeneration of type I*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. 18-II, (1990), 257-311.
- [37] K.Kodaira, *On compact complex analytic surfaces I*, Ann. Math. 71 (1960), 111-152.
- [38] S.Kolodziej, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Acta Math. 180 (1998), 69-117.
- [39] M.Kontsevich, Y.Soiibelman, *Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations*, in *Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry*, World Sci. Publishing, (2001), 203-263.
- [40] P.Lu, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Kummer threefold and special lagrangian tori*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 7 (1999), 787-806.
- [41] Y.Miyaoka, T.Peternell, *Geometry of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties*, DMV Seminar 26, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1997.
- [42] M.Paun, *On the Albanese map of compact Kähler Manifolds with numerically effective Ricci curvature*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 9 (2001), 35-60.
- [43] P.Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, Springer, 1997.

- [44] P.Li and G.Tian, *On the heat kernel of the Bergmann metric on algebraic varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc, 8 (1995), 857-877.
- [45] M.Reid, *The moduli space of 3-folds with $K = 0$ may nevertheless be irreducible*, Math. Ann. 287 (1987) 329-334.
- [46] S.S,Roan, *Minimal resolution of Gorenstein orbifolds*, Topology, 35 (1996), 487-508.
- [47] M.Rossi, *Geometric transitions*, J. Geom. Phys. 56 no.9 (2006), 1940-1983.
- [48] W.D.Ruan, *On the convergence and collapsing of Kähler metrics*, J. Differ. Geom. 52 (1999), 1-40.
- [49] W.D.Ruan, *Lagrangian torus fibration of quintic Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces II: Technical results on gradient flow construction*, J. Symplectic Geom. Volume 1, Number 3 (2002), 435-522.
- [50] I.Satake, *The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for V-manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 9 (1957), 464-492.
- [51] R.Schoen, S.T.Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, International Press 1994.
- [52] J.Song, G.Tian, *Canonical measures and Kähler-Ricci flow*, arXiv:0802.2570.
- [53] A.Strominger, S.T.Yau, E.Zaslow, *Mirror symmetry is T-duality*, in *Mirror symmetry, vector bundles and lagrangian submanifolds*, Studies in Advanced Math. 23 (2001), 333-347.
- [54] G.Tian, *Smoothing 3-folds with trivial canonical bundle and ordinary double points*, in *Essays on Mirror Manifolds Internat*, Hong Kong Press, (1992), 458-479.
- [55] V.Tosatti, *Limits of Calabi-Yau metrics when the Kähler class degenerates*, arXiv:0710.4571, to appear in J.Eur.Math.Soc. 2009.
- [56] S.T.Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and complex Monge-Ampere equation I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978), 339-411.
- [57] S.T.Yau, *Survey on partial differential equations in differential geometry*, Seminar on Differential Geom., Ann. of Math. Stud., Princeton Univ. Press, 102 (1982), 3-71.
- [58] S.T.Yau, *A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 197-204.
- [59] S.T.Yau, *Einstein manifolds with zero Ricci curvature*, in *Lectures on Einstein manifolds*, International Press, (1999), 1-14.

- [60] K.Yoshikawa, *Degeneration of algebraic manifolds and the spectrum of laplacian* , Nagoya, Math. J., Vol.146 (1997), 93-129.
- [61] Y.G.Zhang, *The Convergence of Kähler Manifolds and Calibrated Fibrations*, PHD thesis at Nankai Institute of Mathematics, (2006).